DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRIES



FLOWTUBE modeling of salinity management options for three dryland salinity sites in West Gippsland.

Final - January 2007



For further information contact:

Peter Hekmeijer

Department of Primary Industries Primary Industries Research Victoria

Corner Midland Highway and Taylor Streets, Epsom (PO Box 3100 Bendigo Delivery Centre) Bendigo Victoria 3554 Phone: (03) 54304 349 Fax: (03) 54334 304 Email: peter.hekmeijer@dpi.vic.gov.au

Published by

Department of Primary Industries PIRVic (Primary Industries Research Victoria)

Corner Midland Highway and Taylor Streets, Epsom

(PO Box 3100 Bendigo Delivery Centre)

Bendigo Victoria 3554

July 2006

Find more information about DPI on the Internet at:

www.dpi.vic.gov.au

Disclaimer

The information contained in this report is offered by the State of Victoria, through its Department of Primary Industries, solely to provide information. While the information contained in this report has been formulated with due care by the Department of Primary Industries, the State of Victoria, its servants and agents accept no responsibility for any error, omission, loss or other consequence which may arise from any person relying on anything contained in this paper.

ISBN 978-1-74199-017-1(printed copy) ISBN 978-1-74199-026-3 (pdf & online copy)

© State of Victoria, Department of Primary Industries, 2007

Summary

This report describes the results of a study of three dryland salinity occurrences in the West Gippsland CMA region using a 2 dimensional modelling method called 'FLOWTUBE'. The work arose out a recommendation made in the West Gippsland groundwater flow system study (SKM, 2005) to 'model the flow paths between recharge and discharge areas and simulate the effects of various land-use changes'. The FLOWTUBE approach is a simple and legitimate method that provides a means to test different land management options. In developing each site model, the process has been beneficial in gathering available data, developing conceptual models of each site and also looking at rainfall trends, the other key driver of dryland salinity.

The three study areas are identified dryland salinity occurrences near the townships of Rosedale, Inverloch and Yarram. While predominantly affecting rural land at this time, the adjacent urban areas are also considered to be threatened.

Observation bores at the three sites provide some valuable data about the sites, but as they are predominantly located near discharge sites, they provide limited definition of upslope watertable depths. This limited the possible locations where the FLOWTUBE sections could be modelling and required some additional site data to be collected. However, some bores have data of sufficient frequency and duration to suggest a decline in groundwater levels is occurring at present.

Analysis of rainfall and evaporation data for each site has revealed a pronounced drying trend over the past 10 years at Rosedale and Yarram. This same clear trend has not been observed at Inverloch, perhaps reflecting its more exposed south coast setting. As a key driver of dryland salinity, this drying climate phase is beneficial in reducing the current salinity threat and limiting expansion of discharge areas.

Flowtube models for each location were developed and tested with different scenarios of perennial pasture, trees or combinations of each. In the 'do-nothing scenarios, both Rosedale and Yarram results suggest an expansion of discharge areas under the currently estimated recharge rates. At Inverloch, the model suggests the site may now be in equilibrium (with respect to discharge = current recharge).

With a change in land-use to perennial pasture, all sites responded favourably within 6 years with useful declines in watertable elevations beneath the discharge areas. Incorporation of trees into each scenario slightly increased watertable decline in accord with the slightly lower recharge rate assigned to trees. Of note was the equally favourable response at the Inverloch site to an 'alley farming/ shelter belt scenario (consisting of double tree rows at 100 metres spacing with annual pasture in between).

While the current climate trend may be causing a period of respite, treatment options all take a considerable time to implement. To achieve a scale of change sufficient to reverse watertable rise, steady implementation rates of pasture improvement and tree placement in the landscape are needed. This will contribute a net benefit that will accrue over time. Achieving the rate of change modelled is clearly not feasible in one year, so realistic timeframes of change will be slower than the model results.

Key recommendations from this study include:

• Manage discharge areas with changed grazing practices and through establishment of more suitable waterlogging and/or salt tolerant pasture species. A recently re-released publication from DPI about salt tolerant pastures may be of value here. (Called 'Greener Pastures for south west Victoria', edited by Zhongnan Nie and Geoffrey Saul.)

- Ongoing programs of extension to highlight productivity and environmental benefits of both perennial pasture establishment and placement of trees in suitable locations to reduce overall recharge rates should be rolled out for the affected areas.
- Urban areas at each locality appear to be at some risk from dryland salinity. Some draft guidelines recently completed for managing such areas around Bendigo would be a useful starting point for the CMA to engage with the relevant Local Government in each affected area.
- A small number of additional observation bore locations are proposed at each site to give some additional hydrogeological information away from the discharge area focussed bores.

Table of contents

<u>1.</u>	Introduction	<u>7</u>
1.1.	Project scope	7
1.2.	Project objectives	7
1.3.	Overview of FLOWTUBE model	8
<u>2.</u>	Rosedale	10
2.1.	Physical setting	
2.2.	Climate	10
	2.2.1 Rationale for climate analysis	10
2.3.	2.2.2 Rosedale Site Climate Rosedale Groundwater Flow Systems	11 12
2.0.	2.3.1 Rosedale conceptual model	12
	2.3.2 Shallow groundwater behaviour	13
2.4.	Rosedale FLOWTUBE Modelling	
2.5.	Rosedale - model application Discussion of Rosedale FLOWTUBE results	
2.6.	Discussion of Rosedale FLOW TOBE results	20
<u>3.</u>	Inverloch	22
3.1.	Inverloch Climate	22
3.2.	Inverloch Groundwater Flow System	
	3.2.1 Inverloch Conceptual Model	24
3.3.	3.2.2 Shallow groundwater behaviour Inverloch - Results of modelling	24 26
3.4.	Discussion of Inverloch FLOWTUBE results	
<u>4.</u>	Yarram Setting	<u> 33</u>
4.1.	Yarram Climate	
4.2.	Yarram Groundwater Flow System	
	4.2.1 Yarram conceptual model4.2.2 Yarram groundwater behaviour	35 35
4.3.	Yarram - Results of modelling	
4.4.	Discussion of Yarram FLOWTUBE results	
5	Conclusions	13
<u>5.</u>		<u> 40</u>
<u>6.</u>	Recommendations	
<u>.</u>		
<u>7.</u>	References	<u> 47</u>

Tables

Table 1. Rosedale modelled aquifer parameters	17
Table 2. Summary of Rosedale FLOWTUBE results	20
Table 3. Inverloch aquifer parameters	28
Table 4. Summary of Inverloch FLOWTUBE results.	31
Table 5. Yarram aquifer parameters	39
Table 6. Summary of Yarram FLOWTUBE results	42
Table 7. Recommended Management Actions	44
Table 8. Draft urban planning guidelines based upon watertable depth	46

Figures

Figure 1. Locality map of the West Gippsland Catchment Management area showing the three study areas7
Figure 2. Schematic diagram of FLOWTUBE model (Argent, 2001)
Figure 3. Estimated annual recharge for the Rosedale area
Figure 4. Rosedale conceptual model. (taken from SKM 2005) 13
Figure 5. Hydrograph of the groundwater monitoring bores close to Rosedale.
Figure 6. Rosedale Locality Plan15
Figure 7. Geological cross section though the Rosedale site
Figure 8. Initial groundwater conditions at the Rosedale site showing model design and current standing water level 17
Figure 9. Rosedale site modelled groundwater behaviour under a "do nothing" scenario

Figure 10.	The Rosedale site modelled under perennial pasture with 5mm / year recharge
Figure 11.	The Rosedale site modelled under 50% trees on the upper areas (3mm recharge) and 50% perennial pasture on lower areas (5mm recharge)
Figure 12.	The Rosedale site modelled under 75% trees and 25% perennial pasture
Figure 13.	Looking east from Stuchberry Road across Screw Creek
Figure 14.	Estimated annual recharge for the Inverloch area
Figure 15.	Inverloch GFS5 Conceptual flow system diagram. (taken from SKM 2005) 24
Figure 16.	Hydrograph of selected groundwater monitoring bores at Inverloch
Figure 17.	Hydrograph of selected groundwater monitoring bores at Inverloch
Figure 18.	Inverloch Locality Plan 26
Figure 19.	Geological cross section though the Inverloch site
Figure 20.	Initial groundwater conditions at the Inverloch site showing model design and current standing water level
	Inverloch site modelled groundwater behaviour under a "do nothing" scenario 29
Figure 22.	The Inverloch site modelled under perennial pasture with 5mm / year recharge
Figure 23.	The Inverloch site modelled under 50% trees and 50% perennial pasture
Figure 24.	The Inverloch site modelled under 100 metre spacing tree alleys with annual pasture
Figure 25.	View north across the Jack River flats from the Old Alberton West Road
Figure 26.	Estimated annual recharge for the Rosedale area

Figure 27.	GFS 11 and 10 conceptual model (taken from SKM, 2005) 3	5
Figure 28.	Hydrograph of selected groundwater monitoring bores at Yarram. 3	6
Figure 29.	Yarram locality Plan 3	37
Figure 30.	Geological cross-section though the Yarram site	8
	Initial groundwater conditions at the Yarram site showing model design and current standing water level	19
Figure 32.	Yarram site modelled groundwater behaviour under a "do nothing" scenario 4	
Figure 33.	The Yarram site modelled under perennial pasture with 5mm / year recharge 4	
Figure 34.	The Yarram site modelled under 50% trees and 50% perennial pasture	11