

| QUESTION  | COMMENTS   | REFERENCE                    | RANKING   |
|---|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Social</b>                                   |  |                              |           |
| 1. Restrict human access?                       | “A medium to large tree to 25 m tall. Freely suckers from roots forming thickets.” Occurs in a broad range of vegetative communities including riparian areas, artificial waterways and urban areas. Access to recreational areas may be severely restricted where thickets establish.   | Blood (2001)                 | <b>H</b>  |
| 2. Reduce tourism?                              | Some water-based recreational activities may be affected where plant has formed thickets in riparian areas.  |                              | <b>MH</b> |
| 3. Injurious to people?                         | Direct contact with the bark and leaves can cause dermatitis in humans.  | Blood (2001)                 | <b>H</b>  |
| 4. Damage to cultural sites?                    | “[It] is blamed for blocking sewers and disturbing foundations of buildings.”<br>“In Greece and Italy it threatens ancient walls and other significant ruins.” Potential to lead to major structural damage.   | P & C (2001)<br>Blood (2001) | <b>H</b>  |
| <b>Abiotic</b>                                  |  |                              |           |
| 5. Impact flow?                                 | Terrestrial species.   | P & C (2001)                 | <b>L</b>  |
| 6. Impact water quality?                        | Terrestrial species.   | P & C (2001)                 | <b>L</b>  |
| 7. Increase soil erosion?                       | Root system comprises a deep taproot as well as many shallow laterals. Not likely to increase soil erosion.  | P & C (2001)                 | <b>L</b>  |
| 8. Reduce biomass?                              | As a medium to large tree, biomass would increase.   | P & C (2001)                 | <b>L</b>  |
| 9. Change fire regime?                          | It occurs in medium to large populations, and in dense patches it may increase the frequency and intensity of fire risk. Doesn't burn readily – fire suppression in dry sclerophyll forest   | Carr <i>et al</i> (1992)     | <b>ML</b> |
| <b>Community Habitat</b>                        |  |                              |           |
| 10. Impact on composition<br>(a) high value EVC | EVC=Lowland forest (E); CMA=West Gippsland; Bioreg=Gippsland Plain; VH CLIMATE potential.<br>Tree to 20 m. “Important competitor for both nutrients and light, the latter being the more severe because of the very large leaves and density of foliage.” It often occurs in monospecific stands. Displaces most species in lower or mid strata. | P & C (2001)                 | <b>H</b>  |
| (b) medium value EVC                            | EVC=Valley grassy forest (D); CMA=East Gippsland; Bioreg=East Gippsland Lowlands; VH CLIMATE potential. Impact as in 10(a) above.  | P & C (2001)                 | <b>H</b>  |
| (c) low value EVC                               | EVC=Riparian forest (LC); CMA=East Gippsland; Bioreg=East Gippsland Uplands; VH CLIMATE potential. Impact as in 10(a) above.   | P & C (2001)                 | <b>H</b>  |
| 11. Impact on structure?                        | “Leaf extracts contain allelopathic substances which adversely affect the growth of other plants, which might help explain the occurrence of the plant often in monospecific stands.” Major effect on all layers.  | P & C (2001)                 | <b>H</b>  |
| 12. Effect on threatened flora?                 |  |                              |           |

Scientific Name: *Ailanthus altissima*

Common name: Tree of Heaven

| QUESTION                            | COMMENTS  | REFERENCE                | RANKING   |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Fauna</b>                        |   |                          |           |
| 13. Effect on threatened fauna?     |   |                          |           |
| 14. Effect on non-threatened fauna? | Its potential to establish a monoculture within an infestation would have a serious impact on fauna species. However, its distribution in Victoria is rare or localised thus limiting the impact. Reduction in local habitat. | Carr <i>et al</i> (1992) | <b>MH</b> |
| 15. Benefits fauna?                 | No known benefits.  |                          | <b>H</b>  |
| 16. Injurious to fauna?             | “Stock feed on the lower leaves, and sheep poisoning in New South Wales is suspected, but not confirmed.” Potential to be harmful to fauna species. Unknown for fauna spp to feed on plant                                    | P & C (2001)             | <b>M</b>  |
| <b>Pest Animal</b>                  |   |                          |           |
| 17. Food source to pests?           | Not known as a food source to pests.  |                          | <b>L</b>  |
| 18. Provides harbor?                | Not known to provide harbor.  |                          | <b>L</b>  |
| <b>Agriculture</b>                  |   |                          |           |
| 19. Impact yield?                   | Primarily a weed of urban areas. It is known to occur on undisturbed grazing land, but its impact on agricultural output is not documented.   | P & C (2001)             | <b>L</b>  |
| 20. Impact quality?                 | See repines in Q19 above  | P & C (2001)             | <b>L</b>  |
| 21. Affect land value?              | See repines in Q19 above  | P & C (2001)             | <b>L</b>  |
| 22. Change land use?                | See repines in Q19 above  | P & C (2001)             | <b>L</b>  |
| 23. Increase harvest costs?         | See response in Q19 above   | P & C (2001)             | <b>L</b>  |
| 24. Disease host/vector?            | None evident.   |                          | <b>L</b>  |