Impact Assessment Record

Scientific name: Cylindropuntia imbricata (Haw.) F. M. Knuth

QUESTION	COMMENTS	RATING	CONFIDENCE		
Social					
1. Restrict human access?	Is a many branched shrub like cactus with spines up to 30mm long (Henderson 1995). Can occasionally form "nearly impenetrable thickets" (Kinraide 1978). Can interfere with moving livestock (Pieper 1971). Therefore the species would be oh high nuisance value making access by people difficult.	МН	Н		
2. Reduce tourism?	Unknown; as the species does not resemble native flora invasion by this species may have some negative influence on the aesthetics of an area. While rare the species is reported to be able to form impenetrable thickets which may have some impact on recreation activities.	M	L		
3. Injurious to people?	The species has spines (Hubert 1980). Its spines can be up to 30mm long (Henderson 1995).	MH	МН		
4. Damage to cultural sites?	There is no data reported on the species potential to incur structural damage, invasion by the species could result in an impact on the aesthetics of a site, this has not however been reported.	ML	L		
Abiotic					
5. Impact flow?	The species is not reported to restrict flowing water and as a terrestrial species of semi-arid to arid environments it is unlikely to do so.	L	МН		
6. Impact water quality?	The species is not reported to impact on water quality and as a terrestrial species of semi-arid to arid environments it is unlikely to do so.	L	МН		
7. Increase soil erosion?	Reduces grazing pressure on an area, by restricting the movement of grazing species, and protecting the grasses/herbs in the immediate vicinity of its spines (Pieper 1971), therefore increasing the groundcover and reducing soil exposed to erosion.	L	МН		
8. Reduce biomass?	Invading grassland <i>C. imbricata</i> creates a shrub layer. It has also been reported to potentially improve the microclimate for grasses, while also restricting grazing, increasing net biomass (Pieper 1971).	L	Н		
9. Change fire regime?	The species is reported to benefit from fire suppression (Halstead 2002). There is no evidence however of the species causing a reduction in fire frequency. By restricting grazing, the species could potentially increase the biomass of grasses (Pieper 1971). This may have some impact on fire intensity.	ML	МН		
Community Habitat					
10. Impact on composition (a) high value EVC	EVC= Plains Savannah (E); CMA= Wimmera; Bioreg= Lowan Mallee; VH CLIMATE potential. Can occasionally form virtually impenetrable thickets (Kinraide 1978). Therefore the species can become a dominant species and potentially cause some level of displacement.	M	МН		

Common name: Rope cactus - Devil's rope pear

Impact Assessment Record

Scientific name: Cylindropuntia imbricata (Haw.) F. M. Knuth

QUESTION	COMMENTS	RATING	CONFIDENCE
(b) medium value EVC	EVC= Semi-arid Woodland (D); CMA= Wimmera; Bioreg= Lowan Mallee; VH CLIMATE potential. Can occasionally form virtually impenetrable thickets (Kinraide 1978). Therefore the species can become a dominant species and potentially cause some level of displacement.	M	МН
(c) low value EVC	EVC= Lowan Sands Mallee (LC); CMA= Wimmera; Bioreg= Lowan Mallee; VH CLIMATE potential. Can occasionally form virtually impenetrable thickets (Kinraide 1978). Therefore the species can become a dominant species and potentially cause some level of displacement.	M	МН
11. Impact on structure?	In a grassland invasion by the species creates a shrub layer and reportedly may alter the microclimate for the grass and herb layer (Pieper 1971). Can occasionally form virtually impenetrable thickets (Kinraide 1978). Therefore the species can become a dominant species and potentially cause some level of displacement.	M	МН
12. Effect on threatened flora?	No specific data; however the species is reported to restrict grazing (Pierper 1971). This may protect species sensitive to grazing.	M	L
Fauna		<u> </u>	
13. Effect on threatened fauna?	Unknown.	МН	L
14. Effect on non-threatened fauna?	Unknown; If the species invades grassland, it creates a vegetation layer that previously didn't exist.	L	M
15. Benefits fauna?	May be a food source during drought (Endecott <i>et al</i> 2005). Eaten by rodents (Bunting. & Wright 1976).	МН	Н
16. Injurious to fauna?	The species has spines (Hubert 1980). Its spines can be up to 30mm long (Henderson 1995).	МН	МН
Pest Animal			
17. Food source to pests?	In the united states the cactus is eaten by rabbits and rodents especially during drought periods (Bunting. & Wright 1976).	МН	Н
18. Provides harbor?	Unknown; the species is a tree-like cactus it may provide some shelter.	M	L
Agriculture		•	
19. Impact yield?	There is some conflicting evidence on the species impact on yield. While it may have little impact on the herbage production it can restrict grazing (Pierper 1971). It can however be used as an emergency fodder source in time of drought (Sawyer <i>et al</i> 2001).	M	M

Common name: Rope cactus - Devil's rope pear

Impact Assessment Record

Scientific name: Cylindropuntia imbricata (Haw.) F. M. Knuth

QUESTION	COMMENTS	RATING	CONFIDENCE
20. Impact quality?	Reported to potentially reduce the quality of wool, unknown to what extent. (Pierper 1971).	M	M
21. Affect land value?	Unknown.	M	L
22. Change land use?	There is no specific evidence reported. If invasion by this reduces the effective area of production it may result in range land becoming more marginal.	M	L
23. Increase harvest costs?	Reported to interfere with the movement of stock (Pierper 1971). This may result in an increase in labour time.	M	Н
24. Disease host/vector?	The fruit of the species may be affected by fruit fly (Blood 2001).	M	M

Common name: Rope cactus - Devil's rope pear