Department of Conservation, Forests & Lands

LAND CAPABILITY STUDY IN THE SHIRE OF MANSFIELD

EROSION RISK ASSESSMENT OTHER LAND USE CONSTRAINTS LAND MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Prepared by: J F Wood, Senior Soil Conservationist R G Patrick, Senior Soil Conservationist P R Burns, Conservation Assistant J F Grimes, Area Soil Conservationist

February, 1985

Soil Conservation Authority 378 Cotham Road, Kew, 3101

SC/S/123

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	ii
DEPARTMENTAL RE-ORGANISATION:	ii
THE SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THE REPORT	iii
PART 1 – SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	1
Outline of the Study	
PART 2 – TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF THE STUDY	4
Outline of the Methods4Assessment of Erosion Risk4Management Guidelines6Areas of Special Conservation Interest9	
REFERENCES	10

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Land Unit Descriptions	11
Appendix B – Soil Conservation and Land Utilisation Acts.	29
Appendix C	

PREFACE

Three requirements for sound land use planning area:-

- * An understanding of the extent to which the use will be limited by the natural characteristic of the land,
- * The effect the use will have on the land and the water derived from it,
- * The need for special land management or structural design to overcome limitations or to restrict the impacts to acceptable levels.

Land capability assessment is a rational and systematic means of obtaining this information.

The Soil Conservation Authority is able to provide land capability information for a range of uses and at different scales to meet the various needs of planning. The information provides a relatively stable base on which to superimpose other planning considerations.

DEPARTMENTAL RE-ORGANISATION:

This report has been prepared as a function of the Soil Conservation Authority as expressed under the terms of the *Soil Conservation and Land Utilization Act*. The report refers, therefore, to the Soil Conservation Authority (SCA) throughout.

With an amalgamation of various government agencies into the Department of Conservation, Forests & Lands currently proceeding it is likely that an arm of that Department will assume the responsibility for administering this function.

THE SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THE REPORT

- * This report is based on an assessment of the physical characteristics of the land, social, economic and other factors which may influence planning have not been considered. Such factors may be the subject of further input by the Soil Conservation Authority.
- * The scale of the assessment has necessitated some generalization, site-specific data will be required for detailed planning.
- * The precision with which boundaries are mapped is affected by the scale of the map. Subsequent enlargement of the map does not improve the precision and may be misleading.
- * The boundaries on the maps usually represent readily seen changes in the land. However, where an important land characteristic changes gradually, the boundary indicates approximately where there is a significant change in the effect on land use.
- * No material may be extracted from the report for publication without the written permission of the Soil Conservation Authority.

PART 1 – SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Outline of the Study

The study was undertaken at the request of the Department of Planning for use as an aid to planning and development in the Shire of Mansfield. The report describes erosion risk and other characteristics of the land which may impose constraints on land development in the Shire.

Mansfield Shire (see locality plan) has an area of approximately 3 915 km² and varies from undulating plains at about 320 metres elevation up to steep mountainous country at 1 866 metres elevation, with annual rainfall ranging from 630 millimetres to more than 1 500 millimetres in the Alps.

Approximately 35% of the Shire is freehold land, the remainder being largely forested public land. The study concentrates on the freehold land and is less detailed on the public land.

Areas of Special Interest to the Soil Conservation Authority are the Upper Goulburn (Eildon) Water Supply Catchment and the Upper Delatite Water Supply Catchment and the Lake Nillahcootie Water Supply Catchment. These are detailed in Part 2.

Conclusions

The erosion risk classes in the Sire are shown on the enclosed maps. General management guidelines are presented in Table 2. The main conclusions and recommendations are summarised below.

- (i) Land with severe risk (Class 5). This land is considered highly hazardous and should have strong limitations placed upon its development.
 - * It is recommended that subdivision of land in Erosion Risk Class 5 should not be permitted unless the developer can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Soil Conservation Authority that the development will not result in increased soil erosion from the area.
 - * Permanent clearing of Class 5 land should be prohibited and reafforestation should be actively encouraged. In general, such land should be considered as best used for forestry and passive recreation.
- (ii) Land with a high erosion risk (Class 4).
 - * Intensive small-lot subdivision and clearing should be discouraged.
 - * It is recommended that all proposals for development of land in Erosion Risk Class 4 be referred to the Soil Conservation Authority for specific advice on soil conservation requirements at the earliest possible stage.
- (iii) Land with a moderate erosion risk (Class 3). Development of most of the moderate risk land should be possible without causing increased erosion provided specialised techniques and careful management, which take account of the natural characteristics of the land, are adopted.

In particular, areas where water naturally concentrates should not be disturbed, and disposal of water which would be concentrated by development should be carefully planned.

* Advice on the need for specialised design and construction techniques and follow-up management should be sought from the Soil Conservation Authority prior to approval of any development. (iv) Although land in Erosion Risk Classes 2 and 1 is not generally regarded as presenting significant erosion problems there may be small areas of higher risk which would require special management within the areas shown in those Classes on the map. Where such areas become apparent, the Soil Conservation Authority should be consulted for advice on appropriate management.

Locality plan – Shire of Mansfield



PART 2 – TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF THE STUDY

Outline of the Methods

In order to identify and map areas of land with differing land capability, a systematic study of the natural characteristics of the land has been made. Ares of land which have consistent slope, soils and native vegetation on similar rock types and with a limited range of climate are identified. Such areas are referred to as land units.

Within the Shire, eighty land units have been identified, which are shown on the enclosed maps.

The land unit information has been used together with local knowledge of the erosion risk of the various land types to categorise each of these units into erosion risk classes.

The land unit descriptions (Appendix A) provide a range of information which can be adapted for use by planners for purposes other than erosion control. In particular, areas subject to prolonged wetness or having poor effluent disposal or water holding characteristics can be identified. Where these constraints may exist they are referred to in the Constraints section of the tables in Appendix A.

Warning:

The information provided in the Constraints section of Appendix A has been compiled by field observation only and needs to be confirmed by appropriate field and/or laboratory tests.

Assessment of Erosion Risk

Erosion risk is best defined as a means of rating the potential of land to erode is subjected to poor management or soil disturbance. Factors considered in this rating for each land unit are: Steepness of the land, erodibility of the soil, soil depth, permeability and structured and soil/water balance.

The erosion risk is assessed in five classes – Class 5 being highest. Definitions of the classes are presented in Table 1.

It should be realized that because of the broad scale of mapping (1:25,000 and 1:50,000) the map units can only represent a general level of erosion risk over relatively large areas. Obviously at a more detailed level, local variations will be found. It is important therefore, that where areas of land are nominated for intensive development, the need for further detailed mapping at a much larger scale be recognised.

Table 1 – Erosion Risk Classes

Class	Erosion Risk	General Limitations on Development		
1	None to very slight	Erosion risk does not occur or is very slight. Standard designs and installation techniques and normal site preparation and management should be possible without risk of erosion.		
2	Slight	Slight erosion risk exists. Careful planning, an use of standard specifications for site preparation, construction and follow up management should be satisfactory to minimis erosion.		
3	Moderate	Moderate erosion risk exists which may lead to difficulties during and after construction but which can be overcome. Specialised design, constriction techniques and follow up management are necessary to minimise erosion.		
4	High	High erosion risk. Avoidance of erosion during and after construction is difficult and long term problems may occur. Adverse effects may be inflicted upon adjoining land. Extensively modified design and installation techniques, exceptionally careful site preparation and management would be necessary.		
5	Severe	Severe erosion risk and/or danger of large landslides is prevalent. Any development will cause instability which cannot be practically overcome.		

Management Guidelines

There are considerable variations in standards of land management which can substantially effect stability, particularly in areas with high erosion risk. Similarly, techniques of earthworks construction and follow-up treatment can vary considerably with possible significant or drastic effect upon stability where these are inadequate or inappropriate.

The guidelines in Table 2 outline the type and levels of management considered necessary to guard against unacceptable land deterioration within each erosion risk map unit.

Class	Erosion Risk	General Limitations on Development
1	None to very slight	Generally no specific conservation management practices are required in this map unit, except along drainage lines where erosion may occur.
		To minimise the danger of erosion in drainage lines, avoid disturbance and maintain a protective vegetative cover.
		Roads which cross drainage lines where high flows are likely should be designed with adequate culvert capacity or alternatively low profile floodway fords, at right angles to the flow to minimise cost and erosion potential.
		To avoid problems with spillways when siting farm dams in drainage lines which carry large flows, off-stream storages are recommended.
2	Slight	Generally only limited special management inputs are required in this map unit to prevent soil erosion, except along drainage lines where erosion is likely to occur.
		To minimise the danger of erosion in drainage lines, avoid disturbance and maintain a protective vegetative cover.
		Roads which cross drainage lines where high flows are likely, should be designed as for Unit 1 above. In addition, roads should be aligned close to contour and have adequate surface and/or subsurface cross drainage or be aligned directly up and down the slope with drainage water dispersed laterally.
		Disturbed areas caused during construction works should be revegetated by topsoiling and sowing.
		To avoid problems with spillways when siting farm dams in drainage lines which carry large flows, off-stream storages are recommended.
		Planning for fence locations should take account of significant topographical features so that it is possible to conform to the criteria above.

Table 2 – land Management Guidelines

Class	Erosion Risk	General Limitations on Development
3	Moderate	Specialised land management techniques are required to minimise soil erosion. Moreover, localised areas of higher risk occur in which intensive development of any kind should be avoided.
		To minimise the danger of erosion in drainage lines, avoid disturbance and maintain a protective vegetative cover.
		Employ contour cultivation or minimum tillage techniques for cropping and pasture establishment.
		Locate roads and fences on contour, along ridges or directly up and down slope. Disperse water from roads at frequent intervals by surface or subsurface drainage. Design roads as recommended under Unit 1 above.
		Take care to minimise disturbed areas during construction and undertake adequate soil conservation measures. Conserve topsoil for respreading after construction. Revegetation of these areas may require special treatment as well as sowing and adequate maintenance.
		All dams constructed in this unit will require careful siting, design and construction techniques.
		Generally a vigorous vegetative ground cover should be maintained throughout this unit.
		Subdivision into areas of small lots could cause increased erosion unless due consideration is given to topographical features. Planning of fence locations should also take into account these features so that it is possible to conform to the above criteria.

It is recommended that:

Advice on the need for specialised design and construction techniques and follow up management should be sought from the SCA prior to approval of any development.

4	High	High inputs of specialised land management techniques are required to minimise soil erosion and/or landslides. Localised areas of higher risk occur in which any development should be avoided.
		Employ contour cultivation or aerial seeding for pasture establishment. Specialised management techniques for grazing are required. Cropping is not advisable. SCA advice should be sought.
		A vigorous vegetative ground cover should be maintained throughout this unit. Further forest clearing is undesirable and tree planting should be encouraged.

Class	Erosion Risk	General Limitations on Development
		All clearing or earthworks, including dam construction, roading and other construction works, should employ conservation specifications suitable for each site and include topsoil saving, revegetation, and other soil stabilisation measures and maintenance.
		Limited subdivision may be possible with due consideration to topographical features. SCA advice should be sought at the earliest planning stage.
		Planning of fence locations should take into account topographical features to avoid stock concentration in hazardous areas. SCA advice should be sought.

It is recommended that:

All proposals for development of land in Unit 4 be referred to the SCA for specific advice on soil conservation requirements at the earliest possible stage.

Intensive small-lot subdivision and clearing should be discouraged, and tree planting should be encouraged.

5	Severe	Any land disturbance will require extremely high levels of specialised management input to minimise soil erosion and/or landslides. Intensive development of any kind is undesirable and should be avoided.
		Cultivation is not recommended. Pastures should be sown by aerial seeing only and maintained as a vigorous ground cover.
		Grazing should be strictly controlled and consultation with the SCA on grazing management is highly recommended.
		Clearing of timber should not be prohibited unless for timber harvesting and then should be strictly controlled and the area reafforested immediately after. Reafforestation of existing cleared areas should be actively encouraged. Some areas in this unit should not be disturbed under any circumstances.
		Earthworks of any kind should be discouraged except for emergency or fire protection purposes. In these instances strict attention to design specifications according to SCA requirements should be mandatory.
		Subdivision should be discouraged. However, isolated areas may be suitable for limited development. Such areas would require detailed terrain evaluation due to the severe risk involved.

Class	Erosion Risk	General Limitations on Development
		Planning of fence locations should take into account topographical features to minimise erosion by stock trafficking. SCA advice should be sought.

It is recommended that:

Subdivision of land in this map unit should not be permitted unless the developer can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the SCA that the development will not cause increased soil erosion or land deterioration.

Permanent clearing of land should be prohibited and reafforestation actively encouraged.

In general the area should be regarded as being best used for forestry, passive recreation and strictly controlled grazing.

Areas of Special Conservation Interest

1. Upper Goulburn (Eildon) Water Supply Catchment

The whole of the catchment area above Eildon weir is a proclaimed WSC under the provisions of the SC & LU Act 1958. (A copy of this Proclamation appears as Appendix B of this report).

Two areas within this catchment have increased significance:

- (a) LAKE EILDON ENVIRONS LAND USE DETERMINATION. (A copy of the LUD appears as Appendix C of this report).
- (b) PROPOSED UPPER DELATITE LAND USE DETERMINATION the water supply catchment area for Mansfield township. Determination of this catchment will be gazetted in the near future.

2. Lake Nillahcootie Water Supply Catchment

The whole of the Broken River catchment area above Nillahcootie Weir is a proclaimed WSC under the provisions of the SC & LU Act, 1958. (A copy of this proclamation appears as Appendix D of this report).

.....

Proclaimed catchments are vital sources of water to the community. Any activity within such catchments should be compatible with water supply interests.

The land use determined areas should be recognised primarily for their water supply function. All proposals for development or change of land use must comply with the provision of the determinations which in some instances may override the recommendations in this report.

REFERENCES

- A Study of the Land in the Catchment of Lake Eildon Allen S. Rundle (1977) Soil Conservation Authority, Victoria.
- A Study of the Land in the Catchment of the Broken River Allen S Rundle & R K Rowe (1974, Soil Conservation Authority, Victoria.

Appendix A - Land Unit Descriptions

Alpine

SHIRE OF MANSFIELD

Land unit name:

Geology	Tertiary basalt, Devonian granite & Granodiorite, and some metamorphosed Hornfels and spotted sediments					Rainfall: 1,500 mm
Map Symbol	AR	М	AH	AS	AV	
Erosion Risk Rating	5	5	5	5	5	
Land Form	Gentle to moderate slopes	Moderate sloping broad ridges	Moderate to steep long slopes	Moderate to steep slopes	Rugged upper slopes and sharp crests	
Slope %	5 – 10	8 – 15	20 – 25	20 - 30	30 - 550	
Soils	Organic loams, Sandy loams	Alpine organic Ioams	Shallow organic loams & deeper gradational or duplex	Organic loams	Shallow stony organic loams	
Native Vegetation	A	Alpine Ash, Mountain G	um, Snow Gum, with A	lpine herbs and grasse	es	
Constraints	Shallow soils Shallow soils & rock Stones & gravel Shallow soil, stones & gravel					
Alpine herb vegetation is very sensitive to trafficking. It is very difficult to re-establish vegetation on disturbed sites due to climatic extremes, short growing season, frost heave, high activity, low natural fertility, shallow soils and on-going trafficking pressures.						

Land unit name:

Buttercup

Geology	Lower carboniferous	Lower carboniferous sandstone, siltstone				
Map Symbol	BR	BM	BH	BS	BV	
Erosion Risk Rating	3	3	3	4	5	
Land Form	Rolling plateau & crests	Moderate slopes	Hilly & generally dissected	Steep slope	Very steep slopes & escarpments	
Slope %	3 – 10	8 – 15	10 – 25	20 – 30	30+	
Soils	Friable red & brown gradational	Variable, brown gradational to yellow duplex	Stony gradational, some duplex	Stony loams & red gradational	Stony loams & occasional brown gradational	
Native Vegetation	Narrow leaf Pepperr	nint, Long leaf Box, Yel	low Box, Stringybark w and Red Gum	ith some Messmate, Bl	ue Gum, Candlebark	
Constraints	Occasional rock outcrops	Contains areas of poor drainage and springs	Shallow soils	Shallow soils & rock outcrops	Shallow soil & rock outcrops common	
	Cold wet winters are a restriction on management and makes revegetation difficult following disturbance. Construction activities should be restricted to the dryer months Springs and wet areas should be avoided.					

Land unit name:

Currajong

Geology	Quaternary Alluvium Rainfall: 1,250-1,500 mm					
Map Symbol	СМ	СН	CHA	CS	CV	CVR
Erosion Risk Rating	2	3	4	5	5	5
Land Form	Moderate slopes	Moderate to steep valley sides & lower slope	Dissected lower slopes	Steep sides of incised drainage lines	Very steep long slopes	Very steep slopes on shallow rock
Slope %	8 – 12	12 – 17	15 – 25	25 – 35	30 – 50	40 - 60
Soils	Yellow duplex	Red duplex & uniform sands	Variable but mainly yellow duplex	Uniform dark sandy loam	Uniform sandy loam	Friable stony gradational
Native Vegetation		Narrow leaf Pepp	permint, Broad leaf Pep	permint, Candlebark, B	Blue Gum and Alpine As	sh
Constraints	Access to this unit usually difficult	Many small steep sections not mapped	Shallow soils & moderate risk of land slips. Highly dissected	s & Steepness, erodibility and high probability Stee k of of siltation of streams restricts ghly development.		Steepness & shallow soils
	Specific comments on any developmental proposal by qualified authorities would be essential in these units. Springs and soaks may also pose problems throughout.					these units.

Land unit name:

Delatite

Geology	Quaternary alluvium			Rainfall: 800-1,400
Map Symbol	DF	DG	DUW	
Erosion Risk Rating	1	2	2	
Land Form	Higher terrace flats	Flood zone	Lower terraces & Flood Zone	
Slope %	1 – 2	2 – 5	0 - 3	
Soils	Red gradational	Yellow gradational sands & clays	Variable sands & clays	
Native Vegetation		Red Gum, Ye	ellow Box, Candlebark &	& other Gums
Constraints		Waterlogging and i Should be preserved protect wa	nfrequent flooding. d as a buffer zone to iter quality	

Land unit name:

Eildon

Geology	Devonian sedimentary	Devonian sedimentary sandstone, Siltstone, Claystone					
Map Symbol	EU	EG	ER	EM	EH	ES	
Erosion Risk Rating	1	2	2	3	4	5	
Land Form	Undulating slopes of valley floor	Gentle slopes of valley floor	Rolling slope	Moderate slopes	Hilly	Steep slope	
Slope %	1 – 3	2 – 5	4 - 10	8 – 15	10 – 25	20 – 35	
Soils	Dark uniform yellow gradational yellow duplex	Dark gradational yellow duplex	Weakly bleached gradational, yellow duplex	Friable brown gradational	Friable red gradational	Stony loams & red gradational	
Native Vegetation	Red Box	, Red Stringybark, Nar	row leaf Peppermint, B	road leaf Peppermint, L	ong leaf Box, Blue Gu	ım, Candlebark	
Constraints	Subject to flooding					Slope and shallow soils	
		Gravel seams and	rockiness limit the avai	lability of dam sites			
				High runoff pose Susceptible to severe	es a hazard to land im s sheet erosion if the la vegetative ground co	mediately down slope. and is devoid of reasonable over.	

Land unit name:	Eildon (continuation)

Geology	Devonian, Sandstone	, Siltstone, Claystone	Rainfall: 760-1,150 00
Map Symbol	EV		
Erosion Risk Rating	5		
Land Form	Very steep slopes		
Slope %	30+		
Soils	Stony loams, weakly bleached gradational		
Native Vegetation			
Constraints	Slope & shallow soils		
	Gravel seams, rockine High runoff poses a ha Susceptible to severe	ess and steepness limit the availability of dam sites. azard to land immediate downslope. sheet erosion if the land is devoid of reasonable vegetative ground cover.	

Land unit name:

Hollands

Geology	Lower Carboniferous	rocks and material der	ived from them			Rainfall: 900-1,250 mm		
Map Symbol	HG	HR	HM	НН	HS	HV		
Erosion Risk Rating	1	2	2	3	4	5		
Land Form	Gentle slopes	Rolling slopes	Rolling slopes Moderate slopes Hilly Steep slopes					
Slope %	2 – 5	4 – 10	8 – 15	10 – 25	20 - 35	30+		
Soils	Stony loams & weakly bleached gradational		Red & yellow duplex Red duplex & weakly bleached gradational					
Native Vegetation	Broad leaf Peppermin	it, Narrow leaf Pepperr	mint, Candlebark, Blackv	/ood, Swamp Gum (in	wetter areas)			
Constraints		Shallow soils & rock & steepness						
	These units are susce Septic effluent dispos	eptible to rapid erosion al may be a problem b	if the soil is disturbed or ecause of the low perme	mismanaged. ability of some of the	clay subsoils.			

Land unit name:

Inverell

Geology	Devonian Granite					Rainfall: 900-1,000 mm		
Map Symbol	IM	IH	IS	IV				
Erosion Risk Rating	3	4	5	5				
Land Form	Moderately sloping ridge-tops	Hilly	Steep slopes	Very steep slopes				
Slope %	8 – 15	10 – 25	20 – 35	30+				
Soils	Stony red & brown gradational	Stony red gradational & duplex	Stony loams & stony gradational	Stony loams				
Native Vegetation	Narrow leaf Pepperm	Narrow leaf Peppermint, Broad leaf Peppermint, Candlebark, Blue Gum, Messmate, Red Stringybark with some Red Box, Long leaf Box and Swamp Gum						
Constraints	Steepness, rockiness	, shallow soils and the	prevalence of land slips	s preclude most forms of	of development.			

Land unit name:

Kianga

Geology	Lower Carboniferous	sediments			Rainfall: 650-950	mm
Map Symbol	KU	KG	KR	KM		
Erosion Risk Rating	1	2	2	3		
Land Form	Undulating slope of valley floor	Gentle slope	Rolling slopes	Moderate slopes		
Slope %	1 – 3	2 – 5	4 – 10	8 – 15		
Soils	Yellow duplex & y	ellow gradational	Yellow	duplex		
Native Vegetation	Na	arrow leaf Peppermint, I	Broad leaf Peppermint,	Long leaf Box, Red Gu	m	
Constraints		These unit are sus di	ceptible to rapid gully e sturbed or mismanage			
	Septic effluent	disposal can be a prob	lem because of the low	v permeability of some	f the subsoils.	

Land unit name:

Loombar

Geology	Devonian rhyodacite					Rainfall: 1,100-1,270 mm
Map Symbol	LR	LM	LH	LS		
Erosion Risk Rating	3	3	4	5		
Land Form	Rolling slopes	Moderate slopes	Hilly	Steep slopes		
Slope %	4 – 10	8 – 15	10 – 25	20 – 35		
Soils	Stony gradational yellow duplex	Stony loams Yellow duplex stony gradational	Red duplex & uniform stony loams	Uniform stony loams & occasional red duplex		
Native Vegetation	Narrow leaf Pepperr	nint, Broad leaf Pepper C	mint, Red Box, Red Gu andlebark and Blue Gu	ım, Long leaf Box, Yello m	ow Box, Stringybark,	
Constraints					Land slips	
	Water stor These Septic effluent dispos	age is not assured due units are susceptible to Springs ma sal may be hazardous i or o	to the porous nature o o rapid erosion if the so ay cause large areas of n some of the soils due other impervious mater	f most of the sub-surfact il is disturbed or mismation inundation to shallowness of perroals	ce material naged neable soil over rock	

Land unit name:

Merrijig

Geology	Lower carboniferous	sandstones, siltstones				Rainfall: 630-900 mm
Map Symbol	MU	MUg	MG	MGg	MGp	MR
Erosion Risk Rating	3	1	2	2	2	2
Land Form	Undulating plain	Gilgaid undulating plain	Gentler lower slopes & plains	Gilgaid gentle lower slopes & plains	Gentle crests	Gentle to moderate slopes
Slope %	0-3	0-3	2-5	2-5	2-5	4-10
Soils	Yellow or o	dark duplex	Yellow or red duplex	Yellow duplex	Gradational & red duplex	Friable brown gradational
Native Vegetation			Red Gu	m, Yellow Box		
Constraints	Poorly drained,	seasonally wet	Moderate to poor drainage and seasonally Shallow soils wet			
	The steeper units are Septic effluent dispos	subject to rapid erosio al can be a problem be	n if the soil is disturbed cause of the low perme	or mismanaged. eable nature of some of	the sub soils.	

Land unit name:

Merrijig (continuation)

Geology	Lower carboniferous	Lower carboniferous sandstone, siltstone					
Map Symbol	MRp	MRw	MM	MМр	MMr	MH	
Erosion Risk Rating	2	4	3	3	3	3	
Land Form	Gentle to moderately sloping crests	Gullies, broad drainage lines & gentle sloping adjoining land	Moderate slopes	Moderately sloping crests	Moderate slopes with shallow underlying rock pavement	Hilly	
Slope %	4-10	2-8	8-15	8-15	8-15	10-25	
Soils	Friable brown gradational & stony loams	Variable – sandy to cracking clays	Friable reddish gradational & some yellow duplex	Friable reddish gradational & stony loams	Uniform clays & yellow duplex	Stony shallow gradational & some yellow duplex	
Native Vegetation	Red Gum, Yellow Box	Red Gum, Swamp Gum, Candlebark, Peppermint		Red Gu	m, Yellow Box		
Constraints	Seasonal waterlogging, localised flooding, high risk of water pollution	Occasional areas of poor drainage &		Effluent disposal restricted			
		springs	Surface water storage de	e restricted due to soil pth			
	Sep	The steeper unit otic effluent disposal ca	ts are subject to rapid e In be a problem becaus	erosion if the soil is distu se of the low permeable	urbed or mismanaged. nature of some of the	sub soils.	

Land unit name: Merrijig (continuation)

Geology	Lower carboniferous sa	ndstone, siltstone	Rainfall: 630-900
Map Symbol	MS		
Erosion Risk Rating	4		
Land Form	Steep slopes		
Slope %	20-30		
Soils	Shallow stony gradational, some yellow duplex		
Native Vegetation	Red Gum, Yellow Box		
Constraints	Rock outcrops, shallow soil		
	The steeper units are so Septic effluent disposal	ubject to rapid erosion if the soil is disturbed or mismanaged. can be a problem because of the low permeability of some of the subsoils.	

Land unit name:

Nillahcootie

Geology	Devonian granite and	Granite alluvium				Rainfall: 800-950 mm
Map Symbol	NG	NR	NM	NH	NS	
Erosion Risk Rating	2	2	3	4	4	
Land Form	Gentle lower slopes	Rolling slopes	Moderate slopes	Hilly upper slopes	Steep upper slopes	
Slope %	2-5	4-10	8-15	10-25	20-35	
Soils						
Native Vegetation	Red Stringybark, R	ed Box, Broad leaf Pe	ppermint with Apple Bo areas.	ox, Candlebark and Swa	amp Gum in wetter	
Constraints	Surface springs occu The ability of soils t Septic effluent dispo	r throughout these unit to hold water is variable sal may be hazardous rock o Susceptible to rapid e	s and may cause large e and unpredictable be in some of the soils du r other impermeable m rosion of the soil is dist	areas of inundation ov cause of the prevalenc e to the shallowness of aterial. urbed or mismanaged.	er prolonged periods. e of uniform sands. ^f permeable soil over	

Land unit name:

Strathbogie

Geology	Devonian granite and	Rainfall: 900-1,000 mm					
Map Symbol	SR	SM	SH	SS			
Erosion Risk Rating	3	3	3	4			
Land Form	Rolling slopes	Moderate slopes	Hilly side slopes	Steep slopes			
Slope %	4-10	8-15	10-25	20-35			
Soils	Red duplex & some yellow duplex	Red duplex & some yellow duplex	Red duplex & some yellow duplex	Massive gradational			
Native Vegetation	Broad leaf Peppermint, Narrow leaf Peppermint, Candlebark, with occasional Blue Gum and Blackwood, and Swamp Gum in wetter areas.						
Constraints	Prone to landslips	Rock outcrops		Rocky tors & scattered surface rock			
	Surface water storage is not assured due to the porous nature of the sub surface material. Particularly prone to sheet and gully erosion if disturbed or mismanaged.						

Land unit name:

Timbertop

Geology	Non-identified mixture of Cambrian and Ordovician rocks, Ignimbritic rhyolite and materials derived from them					Rainfall: 800-1,200 mm		
Map Symbol	TU	TG	TR	ТМ	THF	ТН		
Erosion Risk Rating	1	2	2	3	3	4		
Land Form	Undulating slopes of valley floor	Gentle slopes of valley floor	Rolling slopes	Moderate slopes	Moderate to steep slopes, fine soils	Moderate to steep slopes		
Slope %	1-3	2-5	4-10	8-15	10-20	10-25		
Soils	Dark uniform yellow gradational yellow duplex	Dark gradational & yellow duplex	Stony & weakly bleached gradational & yellow duplex	Friable brown gradational, stony loams & yellow duplex	Red & brown gradational	Uniform coarse sands & friable red gradational		
Native Vegetation	Narrow leaf Peppermint, Broad leaf Peppermint, Candlebark, Brittle Gum, Mountain Gum, with Alpine species in the higher country							
Constraints	Subject to flooding			Susceptible to rapid erosion if the soil is disturbed or mismanaged				
	The ability of soils in these units to hold water is variable and unpredictable unless tested.							

Land unit name: Timbertop (continuation)

Geology Non identified mixture of Cambrian and Ordovician Rocks, Ignimbritic rhyolite and materials derived from them Rainfall: 1.000+ mm ΤV Map Symbol TSF ΤS **Erosion Risk** 5 5 5 Rating Land Form Steep slopes, fine Steep slopes Very steep slopes soils Slope % 25-40 35+ 20-35 Soils Red & brown Uniform coarse Shallow stony sands & shallow gradational gradational & sands stony gradational Native Vegetation Narrow leaf Peppermint, Broad leaf Peppermint, Candlebark, Brittle Gum, Mountain Gum, with Alpine species in the higher country Shallow soils Shallow soil, Constraints Steepiness, rockiness, shallow rockiness soils Susceptible to rapid erosion if the soil is disturbed or mismanaged The ability of soils in these units to hold water is variable and unpredictable unless tested.

Land unit name:

Wombat

Geology	Tertiary Basalt	Rainfall: 1,000-1,250 mm					
Map Symbol	WG	WR	WM	WH	WS		
Erosion Risk Rating	1	2	2	3	3		
Land Form	Gentle slopes	Gentle to moderate slopes	Moderate slopes	Moderate to steep slopes	Steep slopes		
Slope %	2-5	4-10	8-15	10-25	20-35		
Soils	Fria						
Native Vegetation	Messmat						
Constraints	Surface springs may cause large areas of inundation over prolonged periods.						
	These units are susceptible to rapid erosion if disturbed or mismanaged						
	The storage of surface water may be impractical because of the non-dispersive nature of the soils.						

Appendix B – Soil Conservation and Land Utilisation Acts.

Upper Goulburn Catchment

Proclamation

By His Excellency the Governor of the State of Victoria and its Dependencies in the Commonwealth of Australia., Ac., Ac., Ac.

7, the Governor of the State of Victoria in the Commonwealth of Australia by and with the advice of the Executive Council of the said state and in pursuance of the provisions contained in section 22 of the Soil Conservation and Land Utilization Act, 1947 do by this my Proclamation define the water supply catchment to be known as the Upper Goulburn Catchment as follows:

Commencing at the eastern end of the wall of the Eildon dam on the Goulburn River, thence southerly along the watershed between Snobs Creek and Jerusalem Creek to Mt Torbreck; thence continuing southerly along the watershed between the head waters of Snobs Creek, Royston and Taggerty Rivers on the west and the Big River on the east to Mt Arnold; Great Dividing Range over Mt Matlock and Mt Singleton to Mt Selma; then generally in a north easterly, north westerly and northerly direction along the Great Dividing Range to Mt Macdonald; thence continuing along the Great Dividing Range generally in an easterly and north easterly direction over Mt Magdala to Mt Howitt; thence generally in a north westerly direction along the watershed between the head waters of the King River to the north east and Howqua and Delatite Rivers to the south west; thence continuing generally westerly and north westerly along the watershed between the Broken River on the north and Ford and Brnkeet Creeks on the south; thence in a generally south westerly direction along the water shed between the Seven Creeks on the north west and the Gerar and Merton Creeks on the south east to a point on the water shed west of the township of Meeton; thence generally in a south easterly direction along the water shed between the headwaters of the Home, Colonial and U.T. Creeks on the south west and the immediate catchment of the western or Delatite Arm of the Eildon Reservoir to the western end of the wall of Eildon Dam; thence across the crest of the Dam to the commencing point.

The area described is more particularly defined on a plan lodged at the Head Office of the Soil Conservation Authority, 378 Cotham Road, Kew.

> Given under my. Hand and the Seal of the State of Victoria aforesaid at Melbourne, this eighteenth day of December in the year of our Lord One thousand nine hundred and fifty-six, and in the fifth year of the reign of Her Majesty. Queen Elizabeth M.

> > (signed) DALLAS BROOKS By His Excellency's Command H & Bolte

> > > Minister for Conservation

GOD SAVE THE QUEEN!

Appendix C



Soil Conservation and Land Utilization Act 1958. LAKE NILLAHCOOTIE WATER SUPPLY CATCHMENT

PROCLAMATION

PROCLAMATION
 By His Excellency the Governor of the State of Victoria and its Dependencies in the Commonwealth of
 Australia, &c., &c., &c., &c.
 I. THE Governor of the State of Victoria in the Commonwealth of Australia, by and with the advice of the Executive
 Council of the said State and in pursuance of the provisions contained in Section 22 of the Soil Conservation
 and Lond Utilization Act 1958 (No. 6372), do by this Proclamation define the water supply catchment area to
 be known as the Lake Nillahcootte Water Supply Catchment.
 The conservation of the state of the transformation of the same supply catchment area to
 be known as the Lake Nillahcootte Water Supply Catchment.

The area to be proclaimed is that part of the catchment of the Broken River and its tributaries upstream of the embankment of the reservoir in a location defined as a line across Crown Allotments 1A, 12A and 12B, Parish of Nillaheootic, County of Delatite.

The use of Land within this catchment is subject to determination by the Soil Conservation Authority, acting under the provisions of Section 23 (1) (a) of the Soil Conservation and Land Utilization Act 1958 (No. 1372).

The area described is indicated on Plan No. 1990 hereunder, the original of which is lodged at the Head Office of the Soil Conservation Authority, 378 Cotham road, Kew.

