DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY INDUSTRIES



CLPR Research Report No. 12 March 2003



CONTRIBUTORS

Project Management:	Analysis:
Colin Smith	George Croatto
Austin Brown	John Cauduro
Mapping:	Bruce Shelley
Paul Rampant (Project Leader)	Juris Ozols
Doug Crawford	Report:
Grant Boyle	Paul Rampant
Steve Ryan	Austin Brown
Nathan Robinson	George Croatto

⊕ The State of Victoria, Department of Primary Industries, 2003

Published by the Department of Primary Industries, 2003 Centre for Land Protection Research Cnr Midland Highway and Taylor St Epsom Vic 3551 Website: <u>Http://www.nre.vic.gov.au/clpr</u>

The National Library of Australia Cataloguing-in-Publication entry:

Rampant, Paul, 1965- . Acid sulfate soil hazard maps : guidelines for coastal Victoria.

Bibliography. ISBN 1 74106 380 9.

 Acid sulphate soils - Victoria.
 Acid sulphate soils - Victoria - Maps. I. Brown, A. J. (Austin James), 1954-.
 II. Croatto, George, 1954-.
 III. Centre for Land Protection Research (Vic.).
 IV. Title. (Series : CLPR research report ; no. 12).

631.42 ISSN 1447-1043

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication

Contents

1	Summary and recommendations	1
1.1	Management of acid sulfate soils	2
1.2	Interpreting the acid sulfate soil hazard maps	2
	Intended use of the acid sulfate soil hazard maps	2
	Use of the map key	2
2	Introduction	3
2.1	Objectives	3
2.2	Background	3
	Definition	3
	Problem	3
2.3	Acid sulfate soils – characteristics and formation	4
	Formation of potential acid sulfate soils (PASS)	4
	Development of actual acid sulfate soil (AASS) layers	4
	Self-neutralising acid sulfate soils	5
2.4	Potential impacts of acid sulfate soils	5
3	Methods	5
	Areas excluded from mapping	6
3.1	Digital elevation model	6
3.2	Geological information	6
3.3	Soil-landform mapping	6
3.4	Field observation and analysis	6
	Field peroxide test	6
3.5	Laboratory techniques and results	7
	Soil collection and sample handling	7
	Total oxidisable sulfur (TOS)	8
	Modified Peroxide Oxidation - Combined Acidity & Sulfate (POCASm) method	8
	Chromium reducible sulfur	9
	PASS risk	9
4	Results	9
4.1	Extent of acid sulfate soils in Victoria	9
4.2	Distribution of acid sulfate soils in Victoria	10
4.3	Severity of acid sulfate soils in Victoria	11
5	Discussion	12
Refe	erences	13
Арр	endix 1. Risk of coastal acid sulfate soils summary	14
Арр	endix 2. Coastal acid sulfate soils summary results	19
Арр	endix 3. Sample of Field Data Collection Sheet.	

List of Figures

Figure 1. I	Indicative ASS distribution in Victoria	1
Figure 2. I	Diagram of formation of ASS	4
Figure 3. C	Corrosion of concrete from sulfuric acid originating from ASS	5
Figure 4. (Gemco drilling rig sampling ASS	7
Figure 5. S	Soil 'peeled' off Gemco auger	7
Figure 6. I	Dormer hand auger in use	8

List of Tables

Table 1.	Soil Reaction Rating Scale from the peroxide test.	.7
Table 2.	Extent of ASS within each CMA region	10
Table 3.	Extent of ASS within local government areas (shires)	11

Acid sulfate soil hazard maps guidelines for coastal Victoria

Paul Rampant, Austin Brown and George Croatto

1 Summary and recommendations

The disturbance of acid sulfate soils in coastal areas of New South Wales and Queensland has resulted in degradation of lowland environments and estuarine water quality. Recorded disturbance of acid sulfate soils in Victoria has been quite low. This may be due to the relatively low occurrence of acid sulfate soils within the state (Figure 1). A number of incidents may have been caused by the disturbance of such soils, but in most cases there was no investigation of the presence or effects of acid sulfate soils.



Figure 1. Indicative ASS distribution in Victoria

Assessment of geological records, analysis of digital elevation models, aerial photo interpretation, extensive field work and laboratory analyses of soil samples were used to produce acid sulfate soil risk maps. A set of 1:100 000 scale maps of coastal acid sulfate soils is presented for the purposes of land management and environmental planning in landscapes in coastal Victoria. The maps show two land classes, indicating either low to high, or nil to very low probability of occurrence of acid sulfate soils. Boundaries for public land, local government and catchment management authorities are also shown.

The mapping has been designed to provide information on acid sulfate soil distribution and indicate to land managers where caution is needed or where further investigation and analysis is required before any land disturbance. Due to the scale limitations of mapping, areas adjacent to those assessed as having a probable hazard should also be investigated.

1.1 Management of acid sulfate soils

The best management technique for ASS is to avoid the disturbance of the ASS layers.

The greatest risk of disturbance of ASS in Victoria is urban and rural development. As further pressure for housing development occurs in the coastal zone, the likelihood of disturbance of ASS increases due to increased engineering works such as bridges, tunnels and pipes. Being aware of the existence of ASS is the first step. The second step is the knowledge of the likelihood of ASS occurring in the area of interest and avoiding it. This was the main purpose of this mapping project.

If disturbance is unavoidable then refer to the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) *Industrial Waste Management Policy (Waste Acid Sulfate Soils)* (EPA 1999).

1.2 Interpreting the acid sulfate soil hazard maps

Intended use of the acid sulfate soil hazard maps

The acid sulfate soil hazard maps predict the distribution of ASS based on an assessment of the geomorphic environment. Included in the mapping are the public land boundaries as well as boundaries for local government and catchment management authorities (CMAs).

Use of the map key

The acid sulfate soil hazard maps display two types of information.

Map class description

This is the estimated distribution of acid sulfate soils.

The maps have two primary classes:

- ∉ Low to high probability of occurrence of ASS (solid green on maps)
- ∉ Nil or very low probability of occurrence of ASS (all other areas of maps)

The coastal ASS hazard maps for Victoria were produced at a scale of 1:100 000. Due to this scale, the areas mapped as low, medium or high probability may include areas that have no ASS. Similarly, the areas outside the mapped region may also include some small areas of ASS.

Site information

The site information included on the maps is exclusively based on survey work (field and laboratory) conducted at the time of mapping.

This information includes three levels of data (with priority in the following order):

- 1. ASS detected within 1 m from the soil surface (red dot on maps).
- 2. ASS detected deeper than 1 m from the surface (yellow dot on maps).
- 3. No ASS detected at the site (red cross on maps).

If ASS was detected, the value on the map was given a symbol of a size relating to the concentration of ASS (low, medium or high).

The body of this report presents a more detailed description of the nature of ASS, the methodologies used in this project and a discussion related to the results that were obtained.

2 Introduction

Acid sulfate soils (ASS) present a serious economic threat and there is an urgent need to recognise their occurrence and distribution. Victoria is a signatory to the *National Strategy for the Management of Coastal Acid Sulfate Soils* (National Working Party on Acid Sulfate Soils 1999). Other strategies in place are the *Victorian Coastal Strategy* (Victorian Coastal Council 2002) which includes the management strategies for coastal ASS, and the statewide *Industrial Waste Management Policy (Waste acid sulfate soils)* (EPA 1999).

Relevant state agencies in New South Wales and Queensland have determined the extent and severity of ASS along the majority of their coastlines. In the Northern Territory some mapping close to Darwin has been completed, while in South Australia the process of mapping the extent of ASS in the coastal zones has recently commenced. In Victoria, a scoping study of the distribution of ASS in the coastal zone was conducted in 2001 by the Department of Natural Resources and Environment's (NRE) Centre for Land Protection Research (CLPR) and State Chemistry Laboratory (SCL). Funding was provided by NRE and the project included input from the Victorian Coastal Council (VCC) and the Environment Protection Authority (EPA).

2.1 Objectives

Study objectives were to:

- ∉ obtain an understanding of the extent and severity of ASS within the Victorian coastal region
- ∉ assess the risk of acid sulfate soils if disturbed through coastal development
- ∉ inform the review of the local government planning and regional catchment strategies
- ∉ inform the review and development of coastal action plans.

2.2 Background

Definition

Soils that contain significant amounts of iron sulfides are referred to as ASS. Most of the coastal ASS in Victoria were formed since the sea level was last at its highest, approximately 10 000 years ago during the Holocene epoch. The formation of ASS requires seawater infiltration into an existing freshwater environment. In this estuarine condition, sulfate in seawater mixes with the organic and iron-rich sediments of the freshwater. A suite of biological and chemical processes lead to formation of iron sulfides in sediments and soil; the most common being pyrite. In Victoria, these iron sulfide layers commonly occur well below the soil surface and within a saturated zone (local watertable).

Problem

Whilst the iron sulfide layers remain under anaerobic (without oxygen) conditions under water, they usually have a near neutral pH and are therefore not problematic. Under these conditions, the soils are known as potential acid sulfate soils (PASS) as they have the potential to form sulfuric acid. When these iron sulfides are oxidised, they can produce large volumes of acid and the pH is often below a value of 4. When this occurs, these soils are called actual acid sulfate soils (AASS). AASS will normally only occur in Victoria if the soils are disturbed, for example, in the event of urban or industrial development.

In Queensland and New South Wales, agricultural development has been known to expose or drain these acid-producing soils. There are many possible negative impacts when these soils are exposed to air. If distributed across the surface, the acid may have long-term detrimental effects on plant growth. Leached acid from these soils may corrode concrete and steel infrastructure. It may also mobilise toxic levels of iron, aluminium and manganese, which are harmful to aquatic organisms.

2.3 Acid sulfate soils - characteristics and formation

Formation of potential acid sulfate soils (PASS)

The focus of this study was on the ASS formed since the last major sea level rise within the last 10 000 years, or the Holocene epoch. Due to the sea level change, areas in Victoria that are prone to the formation of ASS are commonly within 2.5 m of current sea level. In Victoria, areas that have been built-up by urban development (e.g. Melbourne Docklands) and areas where there has been potential for accumulation of large quantities of alluvium, the depth to ASS may exceed 2 m. Generally ASS are found within 1.5 m of the soil surface.

There are two main coastal environments in which pyrite sediments form. The first and most dominant is a saline and brackish lowland including tidal flats, salt marshes and mangrove swamps (Pons & van Breeman 1982). The other is a lower sedimentary environment of saline and brackish estuaries, rivers, lakes and creeks.

In these anaerobic environments certain types of bacteria can reduce sulfates in seawater and break down the organic matter (often transported by streams and rivers) to eventually form pyrites. These pyritic sediments are called potential acid sulfate soil (PASS). Pyrite is still being formed in present-day mangrove and salt marshes (Naylor *et al.* 1998). In other areas, ASS are covered with either a wind or water borne sediment which effectively caps the pyritic layer and, with the associated water level, prevents oxidation and the associated acid production (Figure 2).



Development of actual acid sulfate soil (AASS) layers

Actual acid sulfate soils (AASS) are formed when pyrites and other oxidisable sulfides (in PASS) are exposed to air. The principal end product of this oxidation process is sulfuric acid. Exposure of PASS layers to form AASS layers is usually the result of drainage or excavation. The acid produced by the oxidation process can affect the soil and water. Acidification can cause the mobilisation of iron and manganese from the soil (Sammut *et al.* 1993). These soils form an extremely hostile environment for plant growth and, if at the surface, will often result in areas denuded of all vegetation. Any water crossing or originating from this acidic area transfers the acid

and dissolved metals to watercourses and waterbodies. A concentration of these acid products has devastating effects on aquatic flora and fauna.

In New South Wales and Queensland there have been large areas of AASS formed when floodplains were drained (predominantly for agricultural purposes). Due to the relatively small extent of coastal floodplains, this type of activity is not a major contribution to the formation of AASS in Victoria.

Self-neutralising acid sulfate soils

Potential acid sulfate soils (PASS) are often neutral to slightly acidic (pH 6-7) and may be found in conjunction with layers of shell and other carbonates. This naturally occurring lime has the potential to neutralise the acid formed from oxidised pyrite. However, the shell layers vary in thickness and may be quite discontinuous. Also, large shell fragments may acquire a relatively insoluble iron coating under some acidic conditions.

2.4 Potential impacts of acid sulfate soils

Oxidation of pyritic material can generate large amounts of sulfuric acid and have an immediate, and often long-term, effect on plant growth on the affected land. The acidic runoff from these soils leaches iron and aluminium from the soil and water.

The resultant runoff and leachate has a severe detrimental terrestrial and aquatic impact. Massive fish kills may occur when this acid is washed into waterways. Aquaculture industries such as oyster farms that utilise natural watercourses are particularly vulnerable to acidification.

The acid has a corrosive effect on infrastructure such as bridges, tunnels and pipes, to the extent where structures may fail prematurely.

3 Methods

The following methods were used to predict the occurrence of ASS and assist with the delineation of the extent and severity of ASS.

- ∉ A digital elevation model (DEM) was utilised to determine the approximate height above sea level.
- ∉ Geological studies were utilised to indicate the formations that occurred over the Holocene epoch.
- ∉ Where soil-landform mapping existed it was utilised to indicate the presence of pyritic material.
- ∉ Targeted fieldwork to ground-truth the mapping.
- ∉ Laboratory analysis of soil samples collected during the fieldwork was used to confirm field observations and determine the concentrations of pyritic material in the sediments along the Victorian coast.



Figure 3. Corrosion of concrete from sulfuric acid originating from ASS

Areas excluded from mapping

- ∉ This survey focussed on the occurrence of ASS in close proximity to the coast. The areas higher than 10 m above sea level were excluded except in locations where ASS were already known to exist and had been disturbed due to building programs (e.g. Melbourne Docklands).
- ∉ The risk of PASS in the saltmarsh intratidal area is known to be high especially in mangrove communities. Mangrove areas were not included in this study because they are protected under the Wetlands Conservation Program and are therefore not likely to be disturbed or developed.
- ∉ Pyrite can exists in a range of sedimentary and metamorphic rocks. Activities such as mining and road construction can expose deposits of rock containing pyrite to oxidation and creation of acid sulfate conditions (Naylor *et al.* 1998). These sources of acidification were not considered in this mapping program.

3.1 Digital elevation model

The sea level in southern Australia has dropped by up to 2.5 m since the major deposition of the pyritic sediments (Graham & Larson 2000). Therefore the search for coastal ASS was limited to land below 2.5 m above AHD. This includes surfaces that are now greater than 2.5 m above AHD but have been built up over the last 7000–10 000 years by natural or man-made causes. This characteristic of the ASS formation process made the digital elevation model (DEM) one of the primary datasets for the determination of the extent of probable ASS. The DEM used in this case was created with the software package ANUDEM using statewide 1:25 000 topographic data, as well as stream information and spot height information.

3.2 Geological information

Geological mapping (1:250 000 scale) was used at a statewide level to indicate the formations developed over the last 10 000 years. In the area around Melbourne, 1:63 000 geology mapping was used. The sediments that formed in the Holocene epoch have the majority of pyritic material in Australia. Geological bore log data was studied for reference to pyritic sediments. Where there was reference to shell material in the logs, further investigation was also warranted, as layers of shell are evidence of marine sediments that are often associated with pyritic layers.

3.3 Soil-landform mapping

West of Western Port, unpublished soils mapping by Sargeant and Imhof at a scale of 100 000 and better was also used. The map unit labelled as 'Marine Sediments' had a very strong relationship with occurrence of ASS.

3.4 Field observation and analysis

A field description was recorded for each profile in accordance with the *Australian Soil and Land Survey Field Handbook* (McDonald *et al.* 2000). An example of one of these profile descriptions is given in Appendix 3.

Field peroxide test

A simple peroxide field test described in detail by Hey *et al.* (2000) was carried out as a preliminary assessment of the likelihood of ASS. Hydrogen peroxide was used to oxidise the soil and both the immediate visual reaction and the change in pH from another sample of the same soil (measured in water) were recorded. Visible reaction was described according to the scale in Table 1.

Reaction scale	Rate of reaction
Х	Slight effervescence
XX	Moderate reaction
XXX	Vigorous reaction
XXXX or V	Volcanic : very vigorous reaction, gas evolution and heat generation commonly >80°C

Table 1. Soil reaction rating scale from the peroxide test (Hey et al. 2000)

The peroxide oxidation is an artificial and much accelerated version of what would occur when the pyrite contacts air. The pH measured at the conclusion of the peroxide reaction (pH_{FOX}) is then assessed against the initial pH_{WATER} to check for a drop in pH. The combination of the reaction, the drop in pH and the final pH (pH_{FOX}) can give a good indication of the presence of PASS.

3.5 Laboratory techniques and results

The analytical methods adopted by the State Chemistry Laboratory (SCL) were current with those used by the Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines (DNRM).



Figure 5. Soil peeled off Gemco auger flights

Soil collection and sample handling

The soil samples were collected with powered or hand augers depending on conditions.

Where access was good, a Gemco diesel-powered flighted auger was used (Figure 4). This unit drove the auger into the soil while rotating slowly. The auger was then extracted without rotation and soil was sampled from the flights (Figure 5). Depth measurement in this method is reliable to ∂ 10–15 cm.



Figure 4. Gemco drilling rig sampling ASS

The second method of sampling was to use a combination of a Jarret hand auger and a Number 4 Dormer sand auger (Figure 6), depending on the soil texture and ease of extraction. The collection, handling and storage of the soil samples for analysis were conducted as per the procedures documented in detail by DNRM Queensland (Ahern *et al.* 1998).



The soils were described in the field and the preliminary field tests performed as described above. The soils were then sampled by layers, and placed in snap-lock plastic bags, evacuating as much air as possible. The samples were immediately placed into a portable freezer and transported and stored in a frozen state until time of analysis at SCL.

Total oxidisable sulfur (TOS)

Analysis of total oxidisable sulfur (TOS) was used primarily as a screening tool to predict the potential acidity of an unoxidised ASS. However, the method is subject to interferences from organic sulfur found in soils with high organic matter content. For soils low in oxidisable sulfur and soils with high levels of organic matter, the chromium reducible sulfur test, which is unaffected by organic sulfur, is preferred.

The TOS method involved two separate assays; a total sulfur analysis using a LECO Sulfur analyser and a hydrochloric acid (4M HCl) extraction of the sample to determine the sulfates or non-pyritic sulfur (Ahern *et al.* 2000a). Total oxidisable sulfur was determined as the difference between total sulfur and HCl extractable sulfur.

Total sulfur

Soil samples were combusted in a high temperature induction furnace (LECOTM CNS 2000) in an oxygen atmosphere. The sulfur dioxide produced was quantitatively sampled by the instrument and measured with an infrared detector. Results were calculated as percentage sulfur in the soil.

HCl extractable sulfur

HCl extractable sulfur was determined by shaking samples end over end in 1:40 (soil:4M HCl) suspensions for 16 hours. Filtered aliquots were used for determination of calcium, magnesium, sodium and sulfur by ICP-AES. Measurement of calcium and magnesium in the hydrochloric acid extracts enabled estimation of the neutralising capacity of the soil.

Modified Peroxide Oxidation – Combined Acidity & Sulfate (POCASm) method

This method measures both the sulfur trail and the acidity trail as well as the pH before and after oxidation. The POCASm method allows comparison between the acid and sulfur trails and provides a check on the consistency of the amount of neutralising material reacted in the digestion by measuring acid reacted calcium and magnesium. Organic matter is variable in composition and its effects on both the sulfur and acid trail are difficult to quantify and predict. This method can

overestimate the acid producing potential of acid sulfate soils with high organic matter contents, so again, the chromium reducible sulfur method is recommended for soils low in oxidisable sulfur and/or high in organic matter. Analysis was carried out according to the methods of Ahern *et al.* (2000b).

Titratable acidity and soluble sulfate were determined on separate samples before and after reaction with 30% hydrogen peroxide. Total sulfidic acidity (TSA) was calculated by measuring the difference between the total potential acidity (TPA) after oxidation and total actual acidity (TAA) without oxidation. Peroxide oxidisable sulfur (S_{pos} %) was calculated by measuring the difference between sulfur determined after peroxide oxidation and potassium chloride (KCl) extractable sulfur without oxidation.

Total actual acidity (TAA)

Acidity in the soil before oxidation with peroxide was measured by extracting samples for four hours in 1M KCl solution (1:20 soil solution ratio) and allowed to stand overnight. The samples were then shaken for a further 30 minutes. An aliquot of the extract was titrated against a standard sodium hydroxide solution to determine acidity. A second aliquot was analysed by ICP-AES for calcium, magnesium, sodium and sulfur.

Total potential acidity (TPA)

ASS, when exposed to water and oxygen release (due to oxidation of sulfidic material) sulfuric acid into the environment. This method accelerates this oxidation with the use of 30% hydrogen peroxide. Soils samples were heated to approximately 80°C in the presence of repeated additions of 30% hydrogen peroxide. On completion of oxidation, an aliquot of the extract was then analysed (by titration against a standard sodium hydroxide solution) for acidity. A second aliquot was analysed by ICP-AES for calcium, magnesium, sodium and sulfur.

Chromium reducible sulfur

Chromium reducible sulfur is not subject to interference by organic sulfur and sulfates as are other methods for determining pyritic sulfur in soils. Results from this analysis take precedence when two sulfur trail measurements are in conflict. This analysis is particularly useful for soils with high amounts of organic matter. It is also a sensitive method and can be used on soils where the percentage sulfur is very low (e.g. 0.05% or so). The method was carried out according to Sullivan *et al.* (2000). Soil samples were mixed with an acidic solution of chromium chloride and heated, causing reduction of inorganic sulfur to hydrogen sulfide gas, which was trapped in a solution of zinc acetate as zinc sulfide. The zinc sulfide was then quantified by titration with standard iodine solution and expressed as percentage of sulfur in the soil.

PASS risk

Rating of PASS risk (based on the POCASm sulfur trail or TOS) followed the Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines Laboratory methodology where nil or minimal risk = $< 25 \text{ mol H}^+/\text{tonne}$, low risk = 25-200 mol H⁺/tonne, medium risk = 200-400 mol H⁺/tonne and high risk = $> 400 \text{ mol H}^+/\text{tonne}$ production.

4 Results

4.1 Extent of acid sulfate soils in Victoria

ASS occurred in a range of soil textures, from loamy sands to light clays and heavier. This survey focussed on the occurrence of ASS in close proximity to the Victorian coast (Figure 1), with some

exclusions (mangroves). The area of ASS above the high water line was estimated to be approximately 55 000 ha. In comparison with New South Wales and Queensland, this is a relatively small area of land.

4.2 Distribution of acid sulfate soils in Victoria

The estimated areas with ASS for each catchment management authority (CMA) region and shire are shown in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. The West Gippsland CMA region has the highest area, followed by Corangamite CMA and then Port Phillip CMA regions (Table 2). About one-quarter of this area is on public land. Shires with the highest areas of ASS are Wellington and Greater Geelong City, each with 3-4 times the area of ASS of the shires of South Gippsland, Moyne, Wyndham, East Gippsland and Glenelg (Table 3).

CMA region	Private land	Public land	Total land area
	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)
Corangamite	9 614	4 231	13 845
East Gippsland	1 723	928	2 651
Glenelg	5 850	1 256	7 106
Port Phillip	10 277	1 351	11 628
West Gippsland	14 305	5 361	19 666

Table 2. Extent of ASS within each CMA region

Shire	Private land	Public land	Total land area
	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)
Bass Coast	1 335	599	1 934
Bayside City	Nil	Nil	Nil
Cardinia City	975	58	1 033
Casey City	1 685	214	1 899
Colac Otway	815	516	1 331
Corangamite	858	523	1 381
East Gippsland	1 505	1 110	2 615
Frankston City	324	Nil	324
Glenelg	1 938	602	2 540
Greater Dandenong City	119	Nil	119
Greater Geelong City	8 305	3 440	11 745
Hobson Bay City	764	260	1 024
Kingston City	1 726	Nil	1 726
Mornington Peninsula	22	25	47
Moyne	3 889	572	4 461
Queenscliffe Borough	109	36	145
South Gippsland	3 946	636	4 582
Surf Coast	426	56	482
Warrnambool City	391	199	590
Wellington	9 697	4 257	13 954
Wyndham City	2 937	24	2 961

Table 3. Extent of ASS within local government areas (shires)

4.3 Severity of acid sulfate soils in Victoria

Of the 44 sites examined in the field and/or laboratory, only three (Tooradin and Venus Bay in West Gippsland and Kings Way, South Melbourne) had actual acid sulfate soil (AASS) while 28 indicated the presence of potential acid sulfate soil (PASS) (Appendix 1). Of the sites with PASS, 10 had at least one soil layer with a high risk rating and a further seven had a layer with medium risk. The depth to an ASS layer ranged from 0.3 to 2.65 m and thickness of the ASS layer ranged from 0.1 to more than 2.9 m. At least 16 of the 28 sites with ASS were potentially self-neutralising due to the presence of shell fragments or fine lime. The concentrations of the pyrite and thickness of the pyritic layer at the sampled sites were in most cases quite low compared to those found in the northern regions of Australia. In field tests, strong effervescence coincided with large pH change for many sites. For example, pH depressions of 5-6 units were observed in samples from Bass Landing (2.0 to 2.6 m), Patterson Lakes (0.9 to 1.6 m), Maribyrnong (1.75 to 2.4 m) and Warrnambool (2.1 to 2.2 m).

A summary of all the field and laboratory data for each site in terms of depth to ASS, depth (or thickness) of ASS, presence of AASS, risk of PASS and potential neutralising value is presented in Appendix 1.

5 Discussion

The coastal acid sulfate soil hazard maps are a set of preliminary maps presented at a scale of 1:100 000 for the purposes of land management and environmental planning in landscapes of coastal Victoria. The mapped extent of probable ASS is based on a combination of knowledge and data, including formation processes, height above current sea level, geological mapping, soil mapping and site assessment. Due to the state of flux of the environment in which ASS form, and due to the processes that have occurred since their formation, the actual distribution of ASS is difficult to accurately predict. What is mapped is the most likely occurrence of the formation of ASS. At some sites, the laboratory results have indicated the potential for self-neutralisation but due to the extreme spatial variability, this was not included in the mapping.

Observations of shell or lime, field textures, and effervescence and pH change due to oxidation for various layers of each soil profile can be found in Appendix 2. In 25 sites, vigorous or volcanic effervescence was observed in at least one soil layer, but did not always coincide with a large pH change or a very acid soil after oxidation. This could be partly due to the variable nature of the ASS sediments, whereby a small subsample drawn from a layer for effervescence testing may vary from another drawn for pH testing. Where organic matter levels were high (e.g. near surface layers or buried peat layers), excessive frothing during peroxide treatment could mask effervescence. On the other hand, effervescence and pH change could have been inhibited where conditions were cold and the peroxide reaction was slow to take effect. This latter condition was compensated to some degree by using a warm water bath in which to conduct the tests.

Although the laboratory pH data generally agreed with the field pH data they did not always match. The pH after oxidation sometimes varied from that found in the field because of the longer and more complete reaction time provided in the laboratory testing (e.g. more acid produced or alternately, self neutralised from fine lime). Change in pH also varied between field and laboratory, not only due to the difference and completeness of reaction times and subsample variability, but also because the original pre-peroxide pH is measured in a different extract (water in the field, potassium chloride solution in the laboratory).

Total oxidisable sulfur (TOS) testing was performed on most samples from most sites. Selected samples representing soil layers of interest (i.e. often with indications of ASS through field testing) were also analysed by a modification of the Peroxide Oxidation – Combined Acidity & Sulfate (POCAS) method, where both a calculation of acid production (mol H^+ /tonne) via an acidity trail and a sulfur trail were made. Comparison of the TOS and POCAS results provide confirmation of the presence or absence of ASS or suggest that other factors play a role in the chemistry of the soil (e.g. the POCAS acidity trail often shows no acid production when self neutralisation due to lime occurs).

Total carbon testing was performed for all samples and, where high, organic sulfur was expected to be giving a misleading high acid production rate. In theses cases chromium reducible sulfur testing was carried out as a confirmatory method (data not shown in this report). Apart from surface soils where organic matter interference was expected, this situation happened occasionally where buried layers of peat occurred (e.g. Dalmore – site 2, Tooradin – site 6).

References

- Ahern CR, Ahern MR, Powell B (1998) 'Guidelines for sampling and analysis of lowland acid sulfate soils (ASS) in Queensland 1998.' DNRQ980124 (Department of Natural Resources, Indooroopilly)
- Ahern, CR, McElnea AE, Latham NP (2000a) Total Oxidisable Sulfur (TOS): an instrument based analysis for screening potential acid sulfate soils. Pp. (20)1-11. In 'Acid sulfate soils: environmental issues, assessment and management, technical papers'. (Eds CR Ahern, KM Hey, KM Watling and VJ Eldershaw), Brisbane, 20–22 June, 2000. (Department of Natural Resources, Queensland)
- Ahern CR, McElnea AE, Latham, NP Denny SL (2000b) A modified acid sulfate soil method for comparing net acid generation and potential sulfide oxidation POCASm. Pp.(21)1-11. In 'Acid sulfate soils: environmental issues, assessment and management, technical papers'. (Eds CR Ahern, KM Hey, KM Watling and VJ Eldershaw), Brisbane, 20–22 June, 2000. (Department of Natural Resources, Queensland)
- EPA (1999) Industrial waste management policy. (Waste acid sulfate soils) Environment Protection Act 1970. Act Number 8056/1970. Victoria Government Gazette No. S 125.
- Graham TL, Larson RM (2000) Coastal geomorphology: progressing the understanding of acid sulfate soil distribution. (13)1-10. In 'Acid sulfate soils: environmental issues, assessment and management, technical papers'. (Eds CR Ahern, KM Hey, KM Watling and VJ Eldershaw), Brisbane, 20–22 June, 2000. (Department of Natural Resources, Queensland)
- Hey KM, Ahern CR, Watling KM (2002) Using chemical field tests to identify acid sulfate soils likelihood. Pp. (16)1-13. In 'Acid sulfate soils: environmental issues, assessment and management, technical papers'. (Eds CR Ahern, KM Hey, KM Watling and VJ Eldershaw), Brisbane, 20–22 June, 2000. (Department of Natural Resources, Queensland)
- McDonald RC, Isbell RF, Speight JG, Walker J, Hopkins MS (2000) 'Australian Soil and Land Field Handbook'. 2nd edn (Inkata Press, Melbourne)
- National Working Party on Acid Sulfate Soils (1999) National Strategy for the Management of Coastal Acid Sulfate Soils 1999. (National Working Party on Acid Sulfate Soils, Australia) [URL:www.affa.gov.au/docs/operating_environment/armcanz/pubsinfo/ass/ass.html]
- Naylor SD, Chapman GA, Atkinson G, Murphy CL, Talau MJ, Flewin TC, Milford HB, Morand DT (1998) 'Guidelines for the use of acid sulfate soil Risk Maps'. 2nd ed (Department of Land and Water Conservation, New South Wales)
- Pons LJ, van Breeman N (1982) Factors influencing the formation of potential acidity in tidal swamps. Pp. 37-51. In 'Proceedings from the Second International Symposium on acid sulfate soils' Dost H (ed.), ILRI Publ. No. 31, International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement, The Netherlands,.
- Sargeant I, Imhof M (unpublished) Major Soils of the West Gippsland Region
- Sullivan LA, Bush RT, McConchie D, Lancaster G, Clark MW, Lin C, Saenger P (2000)
 Chromium reducible sulfur Method 22B. (23)1-5. In 'Acid sulfate soils: environmental issues, assessment and management, technical papers'. (Eds CR Ahern, KM Hey, KM Watling and VJ Eldershaw), Brisbane, 20–22 June, 2000. (Department of Natural Resources, Queensland)
- Sammut J, Callinan RB, Fraser GC (1993) The impact of acidified water on freshwater and estuarine fish populations in acid sulfate soil environments. Pp. 26–40. In 'Proceedings of the National Conference on acid sulfate soils'. 24–25 June 1993.
- Sammut J, Lines-Kelly R (1995) 'An introduction to acid sulfate soils' Natural Heritage Trust (Australia)
- Victorian Coastal Council (2002) 'Victorian Coastal Strategy 2002'. (The State of Victoria)

Appendix 1. Risk of coastal acid sulfate soils		s summer y
Appendix 1. Risk of coastal acid sulfate	1.00	SUIDS
Appendix 1. Risk of coastal acid	cilfoto	Sullate
Appendix 1. Risk of coastal	с. С	aciu
Appendix 1. Risk of	f accental	l coastal
Appendix 1. Risk	(5
Appendix 1.	\mathbf{D}	NJSK
Appendix	-	
	and a dim	viniiadd

Site	Depth to	Depth of	Presence	Risk of	Drop in	Final	PSN	Comments
	ASS (cm)	ASS (cm)	of AASS	PASS	lab pH	lab pH		
1 – Tooradin:	nil ?	na	no		no data			š field observations indicate PASS risk
recreational reserve								unlikely
2 – Dalmore:	100	20	no	nil	0	5.1	na	š peat layer at 100-120 cm (S is mainly
Pound Road								organic)
3 – Bass:	200	100+	011	medium-	4.4-2.6	2.3-6.0	yes	š PSN for 260-300 cm only
Bass Landing				low				
4 – Tooradin:	nil ?	na	no		no data			š field observations indicate PASS risk
airport								unlikely (perhaps low for 180-200 cm)
5 – Patterson	45	255+	00	low-	5.2-5.5	2.4-5.6	ou	
Lakes:				medium				
Patterson River								
6 – Tooradin:	110	20	yes	low	+0.3 - 1.1	4.3-5.0	ou	š peat layer 110-130 cm (some non-
riverside reserve							data	organic S)
								š AASS (based on field pH) at 130-
								220 cm
7 – Dalyston:	80	290+	011	low-high	0.5-9.0	2.2-7.4	yes	š PSN partial for 120-180 cm, then
Powlett River								complete to 300 cm
mouth								
8 – Pound Creek:	140	160 +	011	low-high	0.9-1.1	6.9-7.6	yes	
Pound Creek								
9 – Venus Bay:	45	255+	yes	low-high	0.7-3.2	1.9-6.9	yes	š PSN from 130 cm (data to 180 cm only)
Anderson Inlet								š AASS (based on field pH) at 65-75 cm

Continued next page...

Victoria
r coastal
fo
guidelines
maps
hazard
sulfate so
σ
Aci
Croatto
Š
\triangleleft
Brown
ĿP,
Rampant

Appendix 1. continued

Site	Depth to	Depth of	Presence	Risk of	Drop in	Final	PSN		Comments
	ASS (cm)	ASS (cm)	of AASS	PASS	lab pH	lab pH			
10-Sandy Point:	06	230+	no	low	0-1.1	7.4-7.9	yes	š nc) field pH for 200+ cm
Shallow Inlet									
11 – Yanakie:	75	175+	no	medium-	2.1-4.5	2.3-2.6	ou		
Black Swamp				high					
12 – Darriman:	nil	na	no	nil	+0.6	6.5	na		
Jack Smith Lake									
13 – Port Albert:	65	235+	no	low	1.1-3.9	3.3-6.4	yes	š hi	gh organic layer at 20-25 cm: low
new subdivision								\mathbf{P}_{I}	ASS
								š Š	SN for 115-140 cm, then partial to
								16	55 cm
14 – Foster:	120	95+	no	low-	+0.1-0.4	7.4-7.6	yes		
Old Hat Road				medium					
15 – Sale:	160	145	no	low	0.6	5.9	yes		
La Trobe River									
16 – Clydebank:	nil ?	na	no		no data			š fit	eld observations indicate PASS risk
telephone exchange								ur	ılikely
17 – Clydebank:	nil ?	na	no		no data			š fić	eld observations indicate PASS risk
Clydebank Morass								ur	ılikely
18 – Seacombe:	95	215+	no	low	1	no data			
Lake Wellington									
19 – Seacombe:	80	95	ou	medium-	+0.5-5.8	2.5-8.3	yes	š PC	SN partial for 125-150 cm, then
McLennans Strait				low				co	mplete
20 – Loch Sport:	nil	na	00	nil	+2.8-	5.8-6.6	na		
Gippsland Lakes					+0.8				
Coastal Park									

Continued next page...

003
\sim
- March
÷
tesearch
Ω x
Protection
р
Lan
÷
£
Centre
1
÷
P.
Δ

Appendix 1. continued

Site	Depth to	Depth of	Presence	Risk of	Drop in	Final	PSN	Comments
	ASS (cm)	ASS (cm)	of AASS	PASS	lab pH	lab pH		
21 – Bairnsdale:	265	65	no	medium		no data		š a low PASS risk rating applies for 25-
McLeod Morass								70 cm but is partly due to organic S
22 – Bairnsdale:	nil	na	no	nil	p ou	ata	na	
Mitchell River								
23 – Bairnsdale:	100	30	no	medium		no data		
Point Bolodun								
24 – Lakes	nil	na	no	nil	p ou	ata	na	
Entrance:								
coastal reserve								
25 – Sunny Banks:	205	95+	no	low		no data		
Tambo River								
26 – Bruthen:	nil ?	na	no		no data			š field observations indicate PASS risk
Tambo River								unlikely
27 – Paynesville:	nil	na	no	nil	0.6-1.9	4.1-5.7	na	
McMillan Strait								
28 – Tabbara:	210	50+	011	low		no data		š a low PASS risk rating applies to 20-
Lake Curlipp								75 cm but is due to organic S
29 – Orbost:	200	+0+	011	low		no data		
Snowy River								
30 – Maribyrnong:	175	125+	00	high	1.6-3.0	2.0-2.1	ou	
Coulson Gardens								
31 – Footscray:	lin	na	no	lin		no data		
Dynon Road								

Continued next page...

. continued
Appendix 1

Rampant P, Brown A & Croatto Acid sulfate soil hazard maps guidelines for coastal Victoria

Г

			Ē	J - 1. U			INDU	
SILE	Depun to	Depun or	Fresence	KISK 01	Drop In	FINAL		COMMENTS
	ASS (cm)	ASS (cm)	of AASS	PASS	lab pH	lab pH		
32 – North	210	20	no	low		no data		
Melbourne:								
Vaughan Terrace								
Reserve								
33 – South	250	275+	yes	low-high	0.7-5.2	1.9-6.1	no	š AASS (based on field pH) at 310-
Melbourne:								380 cm
Kings Way/Sturt								
Street								
34 – Point Cook:	110	25+	no	low	0.1-0.5	8.0-8.5	yes	
Cheetam Wetlands								
35 – Point Wilson:	90	170+	no	low-high	+0.1-2.0	7.4-8.8	yes	
wildlife reserve								
36 – Connewarre:	nil	na	no	nil		no data		š no field pH
Lake Connewarre								
37 – Swan Bay:	10	10	no	high	0.1	8.1	yes	š peat layer at 10-20 cm: partly organic S
foreshore								only
								š no field pH
38 – Barwon	nil	na	no	nil	0.0-0.5	8.8-9.0	na	
Heads:								
Salt Swamp								
39 – Breamlea:	160	10	no	high	1.2	6.3	part	
flora reserve								
40 – Peterborough:	95	55+	no	-wol	0-1.4	8.0-8.3	yes	
Curdies Inlet				medium				

Continued next page...

\mathcal{C}
0
0
\sim
Ч
0
H
ų,
2
-
5
1
8
š
e
~
Ξ
· 🖂
5
5
ž
2
ā
_
p
Ξ
Ģ
Π
Ľ
2
-
5
Ð.
5
~~
\cup
-
<u> </u>

Appendix 1. continued

Site	Depth to	Depth of	Presence	Risk of	Drop in	Final	PSN	Comments
	ASS (cm)	ASS (cm)	of AASS	PASS	lab pH	lab pH		
41 – Mepunga	150	70	no	low	0.1-1	7.1-8.1	part	š a low PASS risk rating applies to 30-
West:								90 cm but is partly due to organic S
Killeens Road								
42 – Warrnambool:	100	110+	no	low-high	0.7-1.1	7.3-8.3	yes	
Kelly Swamp								
43 – Yambuk:	nil	na	ou	nil	+0.1-0.6	6.9-7.6	na	
Eumeralla River								
44 – Princetown:	30	15	ou	nil		no data		š organic S layer at 30-45 cm
Gellibrand River								
		1 U U					-	

organic matter and the acid is likely to derive from calculations of S as sulfate. Where PASS is nil, PSN is treated as not applicable. Potential Self Neutralising (PSN) [based on POCAS sulphidic S Depth: + indicates bottom of examined profile; Kisk of PASS: based on POCAS (or 10S) acid production via sulphur trail where nil = <25, low = 25-200, medium = 201-400, high = >400mol H⁺/tonne (note: In most situations <25 mol H⁺/tonne production for a nil risk more or less matched the action criteria used by DNR Queensland and was used here. Risk for PASS in surface samples (except for metropolitan soils) is regarded as nil, on the assumption that, despite acid production indicated by TOS or POCAS, these soils are aerated, generally high in minus Ca and Mg analyses where such are assumed to be in carbonate form]: PSN can only be determined where POCAS has been done); Comments relate to ASS layers.

Victoria
coastal
for
guidelines
maps
hazard
-
soi
sulfate
Acid
Croatto
æ
\triangleleft
Brown
Rampant P,

summary results
soils
acid sulfate
Coastal
ä
Appendix

Site	Depth	Texture	TC	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H ₂ O	Field pH H2O2	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	TOS
	Cm		%						(drop)		(drop)	aci	l prod., mol H ⁺ /t	onne
1 – Tooradin:	09-0	cl				х	5.2	4.6	0.5				no data	
recreational reserve	60-120	sl				х	5.3	3.7	1.5				no data	
	120-200	cl				х	5.1	3.5	1.5				no data	
	200-350	sl				х	5.3	4.0	1.5				no data	
	350+	sl				х	5.5	4.2	1				no data	
2 – Dalmore:	0-100	cl-mc	6.9-4.1			n-x	6.0-6.4	2.9	3.5			no	data	19-37
Pound Road	100-120	peaty lc	12.6			хх	6.1	3.4	2.5	5.1	0	53	95	105
	120-300	mc-mhc	1.3-0.4			хх	5.8-6.4	3.5-4.0	2-3.5	5.4-5.9	+0.5	9-0	2-7	0-12
3 – Bass:	0-30	1	8.4			u	5.9	2.8	3			no	data	28
Bass Landing	30-200	mc-lys	2.2-0.3			u	5.9-6.4	3.7-4.6	1-3	4.8	0	15	2	0-28
	200-260	lys	0.5-0.7	1,1		v	8.3-8.9	1.9-(6.2)	9	2.3	4	223-242	312-319	250-408
	260-300	lys	0.7			u	8.6	4.8	4	6.0	2	0	59-62**	53-58
4 – Tooradin:	100-180	lc				n	5.4-5.8	3.7-3.8	1.5-2				no data	
airport	180-200	lc				XX	6.3	3.0	3				no data	
	200-230	mc				XX	5.9	3.9	2				no data	
	230-250	mc-mhc				xx	6.9-5.8	4.5-4.8	1-2.5				no data	

Continued next page...

Site	Depth	Texture	2	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H ₂ O	Field pH H ₂ O ₂	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	SOL
	Cm		%						(drop)	. <u> </u>	(drop)	acic	d prod., mol H ⁺ /tc	nne
5 - Patterson Lakes:	0-30	1	14.7			x	6.5	3.8	2.5			ou	data	133
Patterson River	30-45	cs-lc	1.0-2.1	5,1-2		x	6.9-7.3	5.5-6.3	1-1.5			ou	data	7-13
	45-90	S	0.8	2,2-3		хх	7.6	6.2	1.5			ou	data	111
	90-160	s	0.7-0.4	1-2,1-3		^	6.8-7.7	1.6-1.8	5.5-6	2.5	5.5	152-155	269-271	262-481
	160-200	s	0.3			v	7.5-8.0	1.8-(5.7)	9	2.4	5	232-246	278-297	317-358
	200-300	s	0.2	2,2-3		n-x	7.4-7.7	4.8-6.0	2-3			no	data	19-29
6 – Tooradin:	0-25	1	11.3			х	6.5	4.0	1.5			ou	data	51
riverside reserve	25-110	mhc-lc	1.9-1.2			n-x	5.1-7.1	3.1-4.5	2-2.5			ou	data	6-11
	110-130	peat	20.7			x	4.5	2.4	2			ou	data	400
	130-220	sc	0.8-0.4			хх-х	3.7-3.8	2.0-2.5	2	4.3-5.0	+0.5	2-18	13-19	12-30
	220-300	mc	0.2			v	6.4	4.4	2	4.9	1.5	17	9	19
7 – Dalyston:	0-20	l-sc	5.5-3.6			x	7.3-7.4	5.1-5.6	2-2.5			ou	data	27-45
Powlett River	20-60	lc-sc	1.3-0.3			х	7.1-7.2	4.8-4.9	2			ou	data	10-18
mouth	60-80	scl	0.4			хх	6.9	1.6	5.5			ou	data	11
	80-120	cs	0.9			^	7.6	1.4	6.0			no	data	143
	120-180	cs	1.3			Λ	7.1	1.8	5	2.2	3	529-575	567-608*	974
	180-300	S-SC	1.3-3.5	5, 1-2		х	8.4-8.5	6.0-6.5	2-2.5	7.4	2	0	323-1160**	280-1065
	300-350	s	1.0			х	9.0	6.3	2.5			no	data	183

DPI - Centre for Land Protection Research - March 2003

Appendix 2. continued

Τ

Continued next page...

Site	Depth	Texture	TC	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H ₂ O	Field pH H ₂ O ₂	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	TOS
	Cm		%						(drop)		(drop)	ac	id prod., mol H ⁺ /t	onne
8 – Pound Creek:	0-15	cl	8.2			x	6.0	3.6	2.5			n	o data	37
Pound Creek	15-40	mc	1.1			х	6.4	4.7	2			nc	o data	11
	40-140	sl	3.4-4.0	2-3, 2-3		u	8.4-8.5	6.4-6.5	2	7.6-7.8	0.5-1	0	3-8	7-8
	140-170	scl	3.3			хх	8.3	5.6	3	7.6	1	0	144**	133-144
	170-200	lc	1.9			v	8.3	2.8	5.5	6.9	2	0	618**	653
	200-225	lc-lmc	0.9			хх	8.4	5.4	3			nc	o data	479
	225-300	lmc	0.5-0.4			хх	8.7-8.8	5.5-6.7	2-3			nc	o data	90-137
9 – Venus Bay:	0-15	lc	12.7			х	5.5	5.4	0			nc	o data	82
Anderson Inlet	15-45	lmc	1.9			х	6.5	5.0	1.5			nc	o data	6
	45-75	lmc	1.2-2.4			х	3.9-4.2	2.8-2.9	1-1.5			nc	o data	6-33
	75-130	lc	3.1-1.3			хх	4.1	3.0	1	1.9-2.2	2-3	673-1590	683-1597	935-2098
	130-155	S	1.1			хх	6.9	5.7	1	6.9	2	0	343**	202
	155-300	s	1.4-1.9			u-xx	7.4-8.8	6.2-6.4	1-2	8.9	1	0	177-179**	102-190
10 – Sandy Point:	0-10	mat-scl	29.5-9.8			хх	7.8-8.2	4.4-4.7	3.5			nc	o data	78-156
Shallow Inlet	10-25	lmc	1.9			u	7.7	5.1	2.5			nc	o data	28
	25-85	sl	0.4-0.7	2, 1-2		хх-х	6.7-7.6	4.9-5.3	2-2.5			nc	o data	0-2
	85-90	sl		2, 1-2		ХХХ	7.0	1.9	1				no data	
	90-100	sl	2.0	2, 1-2		х	8.3	6.3	2	7.5	0	0	124**	121
	100-320	ksl-ks	2.5-1.2	2, 1-2		хх-х	8.4-8.6	6.3-6.6	2	7.4-7.9	0-1	0	50-116**	44-177

Rampant P, Brown A & Croatto Acid sulfate soil hazard maps guidelines for coastal Victoria

Appendix 2. continued

Continued next page...

			ł			:		:		;				6
Site	Depth	Texture	2	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H ₂ O	Field pH H ₂ O ₂	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	SO1
	Cm		%						(drop)		(drop)	aci	id prod., mol H ⁺ /t	onne
11 – Yanakie:	5-15	cl	7.3			x	5.0	3.0	2			ou	data	49
Black Swamp	15-30	lmc	1.5			x	5.1	3.3	2			ou	data	10
	30-75	lc	0.7-0.9	1, 2		x	5.4-5.6	3.7-5.1	0.5-1.5	5.0-5.2	1.5-+0.5	0-10	0-12	0-7
	75-225	lc	0.9-2.3	1, 2		V-XX	6.1-7.1	1.4-2.1	5	2.3-2.6	2-4	221-417	270-577	222-940
	225-250	lc	0.8			x	7.3	5.9	1.5			ou	data	279
12 – Darriman:	0-20	cl-mhc	4.6-2.2			хх	6.8-7.2	3.7-4.4	3			ou	data	22-42
Jack Smith Lake	20-300	lc-mhc	0.9-0.2			хх-х	7.1-7.9	5.1-6.4	1.5	6.5	1.5	0	0	6-0
13 – Port Albert:	5-20	scl	3.1			x	5.8	4.1	1.5			no	data	33
new subdivision	20-25	1	16.5			x	5.4	4.1	1			ou	data	166
	25-65	lc	0.8			x	5.4	4.2	1			ou	data	9
	65-115	ls	0.6-0.3	1, 1		ххх-хх	6.6-6.8	1.4-2.2	4-4.5	2.9-3.3	3-3.5	64-89	80-94	80-98
	115-140	ls	0.5	1, 1		ххх	6.8	1.4	5	4.5-6.5	1-3	0	138-146**	132
	140-165	ls	0.6	1, 1		٨	7.8	1.9	9			0	153*	171
	165-265	ls	0.3-0.6			ххх-хх	7.1-7.3	1.5-1.9	9			12-33	76-79	85-97
	265-300	ls	0.2-0.5			х	6.8	2.7	4			ou	data	56-65
14 – Foster:	0-10	1	23.8			хх	4.6	3.9	0.5	5.9	+0.5	0	190-191	196
Old Hat Road	10-95	mc-lmc	1.9-0.3			хх-х	5.5-5.9	3.6-3.7	2			ou	data	0-24
	95-120	sl	0.3			xx	6.2	(1.5)?	4.5			ou	data	5
	120-190	sl	0.6-0.7	1-2, 1-2		хх-х	8.3-8.8	6.1-6.2	2.5	7.4-7.5	0	0	120-129**	68-120
	190-315	scl	0.9-1.0			XXX-XX	8.2-8.6	6.2-6.1	2.5	7.5-7.6	0	0	163-211**	133-198

DPI - Centre for Land Protection Research - March 2003

Appendix 2. continued

Continued next page...

	Douth	Totter	C.F	Choll P.	5 oft	Etald	Et.d. a.H.	Diald a U	נויין דע	IahaI	I ahaU	a v u U U a		
Sile	ndan	almaar	2	Lime	CO ₃	Eff	H_2O	H ₂ O ₂	change	oxidised	change	acid trail	trail & PSN	
	Cm		%						(drop)	-	(drop)	aci	d prod., mol H ⁺ /	-
15 – Sale:	0-65	cl	16.8-5.1			хх-х	4.3-5.3	3.5-3.8	1-1.5			ou	data	
La Trobe River	65-140	cl-lc	2.1-0.5			n-x	4.9-5.1	2.6-3.5	1.5-2.5			ou	data	
	140-160	lc	0.5			>	6.1	7.0	+	5.9	0.5	0-2	18-21**	
	160-200	lc	0.4			>	5.9	2.8	ю			ou	data	
	200-305	lc	0.6-0.9			XXX	5.7-6.3	2.5-3.9	2-4			ou	data	
	305-320	lc	0.5			u	6.4	5.2	1			ou	data	
16 – Clydebank:	0-10	cl				ххх	6.5	4.4	2				no data	
telephone exchange	10-190	scl-lc				XXX	5.9-6.4	5.2-5.7	0.5-1				no data	
	190-330	mc-sl				x-u	7.0-7.1	5.4-5.5	1.5				no data	
17 – Clydebank:	0-15	cl				хх	5.4	3.1	1.5				no data	
Clydebank Morass	15-60	lc-sc				xx	5.0-5.4	3.4-3.9	1.5				no data	
	60-320	lc-mc				хх-х	5.9-6.7	4.6-5.6	1				no data	
18 – Seacombe:	0-45	sl	5.5-4.4			XX	5.6-5.8	3.0-4.0	1.5			ou	data	
Lake Wellington	45-55	S	0.2			xx	5.8	4.1	1.5			ou	data	
	55-95	s	0.7			^	4.6	1.8	2.5			ou	data	
	95-180	S	0.1-0.2			XXX	5.3	1.3-1.4	4			ou	data	
	180-265	S	0.1			XX	4.0-5.3	1.8-2.2	2-3			ou	data	
	265-310	S	0.1			Х	5.4	4.7	1			ou	data	

Appendix 2. continued

Rampant P, Brown A & Croatto Acid sulfate soil hazard maps guidelines for coastal Victoria

Continued next page...

Site	Depth	Texture	TC	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H2O	Field pH H2O2	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	TOS
	Cm		%						(drop)		(drop)	aci	d prod., mol H ⁺ /	tonne
19 – Seacombe:	0-35	cl	6.0			xx	5.7	2.7	3			ou	data	40
McLennans Strait	35-100	S-SC	0.2			хх-х	6.0-6.5	5.0-5.3	1			ou	data	3-35
	100-125	lc	0.2		S	v	7.6	2.4	5	2.5	6	180	272	318
	125-150	lc	0.3		S	xx	7.9	6.1	2	3.5	5	27	128*	218
	150-200	lc	0.3-0.6		s	xxx-xf	8.1-8.2	6.4-8.5	0-1.5	8.2-8.3	0.5-+0.5	0	17-59**	16-33
	200-315	ls-lc	0.3-1.4	1-4, 1-3	S	х	8.3-8.5	6.0-6.4	2-2.5	8.0-8.6	1	0	0-34**	0-27
20 – Loch Sport:	0-40	ls	2.4			х	5.5	4.6	1	6.6	+3	0	4	0
Gippsland Lakes	40-110	S	0.3			u	6.0	5.1	1	5.8	+1	5	0	0
Coastal Park	110-120	ls	1.7			x	5.7	2.9	2.5			ou	data	5
	120-245	ls-s	0.4-0.2			х	4.9-6.3	3.8-4.9	1.5-2			ou	data	1-5
	245-320	S	0.2-0.1			xx	4.9-5.0	2.6-3.7	1.5-2.5			ou	data	5-10
21 – Bairnsdale:	0-25	cl	6.7			xx	5.0	2.3	2.5			ou	data	41
McLeod Morass	25-70	cl	3.2			хх	4.2	1.9	2			no	data	36
	70-265	lmc-ls	1.5-0.3			х-хх	4.0-4.5	1.6-2.9	1.5-2.5	4.9	+0.5	0	12-19	1-24
	265-330	sl	0.3-0.5			Λ	4.0-4.2	1.8-1.9	2			ou	data	318-343
22 – Bairnsdale:	0-20	cl	5.5			xx	5.6	2.6	3			ou	data	68
Mitchell River	20-300	cl-mc	2.1-0.8	3, 2	m	х-хх	5.7-6.3	2.8-3.6	2.5-3			no	data	18-31
23 – Bairnsdale:	0-55	cl-scl	5.2-3.0			ХХ	4.8-5.4	2.7-3.1	1.5-2.5			ou	data	0-11
Point Bolodun	55-100	mc-lc	0.6-0.9			х-хх	4.4-5.0	3.0-3.3	1.5-2			no	data	0
	100-130	lc	0.3			ХХ	4.7	3.3	1.5			no	data	308
	130-160	lc	0.2			хх	5.0	3.5	1.5	5.1	+1.5	0	0	1
	160-225	lc	0.3			ХХ	5.0-5.3	2.8-3.5	1.5-2.5	5.7-6.0	0	0	1-2	1
	225-300	scl	0.3			XXX	5.6-5.8	4.0-4.1	1.5	5.6-5.9	0	0	0-5	0

DPI - Centre for Land Protection Research - March 2003

24

Continued next page...

Appendix 2. continued

Rampant P, Brown A & Croatto Acid sulfate soil hazard maps guidelines for coastal Victoria

Site	Depth	Texture	TC	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H ₂ O	Field pH H2O2	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	SOT
	Cm		%						(drop)		(drop)	acio	l prod., mol H ⁺ /to	nne
24 – Lakes	0-5	s	6.9			xx	6.8	5.2	1.5			no	lata	22
Entrance: coastal	5-25	s	0.8-0.4			xx	6.9-7.0	5.0-5.4	1.5-2			no	lata	5-6
reserve	25-100	s				n-xx	6.3-6.4	4.3-5.0	1.5-2				no data	
	100-300	s				n-x	7.5-8.6	5.7-5.8	2-3				no data	
25 – Sunny Banks:	0-70	sl-scl	3.3-1.0			хх-х	5.4-5.6	3.5-3.7	1.5-2			no	lata	7-14
Tambo River	70-180	lc	0.5-0.2			x-u	5.8-6.1	3.7-4.8	1.5-2			no e	lata	0-5
	180-205	sc	0.3			xx	6.2	2.7	3.5			uo e	lata	0
	205-300	cs-lc	0.3			V-XX	6.0-6.5	1.6-2.1	4			no	lata	75-134
26 – Bruthen:	0-300	s-scl				ххх-х	6.1-6.6	4.0-5.0	1-1.5				no data	
Tambo River														
27 – Paynesville:	0-40	scl	2.9			и	6.4	4.7	2			ou	data	19
McMillan Strait	40-75	mc-hc	1.0			u	5.2	2.5	2.5			no	data	18
	75-160	mc-hc	0.3-0.2			ц	4.5-4.7	2.8-3.5	1-1.5			ou	data	9-0
	160-180	mc-hc	0.2			u	6.1	6.8	+0.5	4.1	2	6	20	37
	180-280	mc-hc	0.2-0.1			v	6.6-7.3	7.3-7.5	0-+0.5	5.3-5.7	0.5	0-7	1-19	0-32
28 – Tabbara:	0-20	lc	4.6			xx	6.1	3.7	2.5			ou	data	54
Lake Curlipp	20-75	cl-scl	2.8-1.2			XX-XXX	6.1-6.2	3.3-3.6	2.5			no	data	18-37
	75-125	lc	0.9-1.0			XX-XX	5.0-5.2	3.3-4.1	1-1.5			no	data	19-24
	125-210	mc-sc	0.8-2.1			Х-ХХ	4.0-4.4	1.9-3.3	1-2			no	data	18-45
	210-260	S	0.5			ν	4.6	1.3	3			no	data	94
29 – Orbost:	0-40	cl	2.0			vf	6.9	3.9	3			no	data	38
Snowy River	40-175	cl-lc	1.4-0.6			xx-vf	7.2-7.6	5.3-6.2	1-2			no	data	20-28
	175-200	zcl	1.0			ХХ	7.1	3.0	4			no	data	29
	200-240	zcl-cs	1.8-1.4			XX-XXX	6.9-7.2	3.7-4.5	2.5			no	data	72-94

Continued next page...

Appendix 2. continued

DPI - Centre for Land Protection Research - March 2003

Site	Depth	Texture	2	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H ₂ O	Field pH H ₂ O ₂	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	SOL
	Ст		%						(drop)		(drop)	aci	d prod., mol H ⁺ /t	onne
30 – Maribyrnong:	0-115	cl-lmc	3.5-1.3			xx	6.3-6.6	3.4-4.2	2.5			ou	data	0-13
Coulson Gardens	115-175	lmc-lc	2.2-0.9			xx-vf	8.1-8.4	5.5-6.6	2.5	5.9-6.0	1	0	0-16	33-37
	175-240	lc	5.4			^	7.8	2.4	5	2.0	3	859	1312	1769
	240-300	lc	5.3-3.1			v	7.5-8.0	2.4	5-5.5	2.0-2.1	2-2.5	729-925	1045-1374	1480-1869
31 – Footscray:	0-50	cl		2,2		xx	6.3-6.9	5.2-5.8	1				no data	
Dynon Road	50-80	scl				х	5.2	3.9	1.5				no data	
	80-140	scl-lc	0.5-1.4			хх-х	5.1-5.3	3.6-4.8	0.5-1.5			ou	data	0
	140-220	scl-lc	0.4-3.1			xx	5.5-5.7	2.5-2.8	3			ou	data	0-11
	220-260	lmc	1.9-1.1			хх-х	6.0-6.1	4.0-4.7	1.5-2			ou	data	0
32 – North	155-180	lc-mc	6.0-9.0			v	7.8-7.9	5.5-6.5	1.5-2.5			ou	data	23-41
Melbourne:	180-210	zcl	1.3-0.3			хх	8.0-8.7	5.4-6.1	2.5			оц	o data	0-14
Vaughan Terrace	210-230	zcl	0.2			xx	9.1	5.6	3.5			ou	data	106
Keserve	230-240	zcl	0.2			хх	9.3	5.9	3.5			ou	o data	11
	240-290	cl	0.2	2, 2		ХХ	8.2-8.7	5.8-6.3	2.5	5.8	1	0	0-4	12
	290-300	lc	0.7			хх	8.0	6.2	2	7.8	0.5	0	0	14
	300-410	lc-sc	0.2			ХХ	7.7-8.3	5.8-7.3	1-1.5	5.9	0.5	0	2	8-12
	410-500	lmc-lc	0.2			х-х	7.8-8.4	5.7-7.6	0-2.5			ou	o data	13-17
33 – South	200-250	lc-fscl	2.1-0.7			хх	3.8-4.0	1.9-2.2	2			no	data	0
Melbourne:	250-330	fscl-lc	0.7-2.1			хх-х	3.5-3.8	1.5-1.8	2			ou	data	18-51
Kings Way/Sturt St	330-350	lc	3.4			х	3.5	1.2	2			оц	data	652
	350-380	lc	2.8			x	3.4	2.2	1			ou	data	2037
	380-500	scl-mc	2.3-1.5	2, 1		v	4.1-6.4	2.0-2.3	2-4	1.9-2.1	5	732-924	1123-1194	1710-2149
	500-525	mc	0.5			٧	7.9-8.0	3.2-6.0	2-5	6.1	0.5	0	81	134

Continued next page...

							-						-	
Site	Depth	Texture	TC	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H2O	Field pH H ₂ O ₂	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	TOS
	Cm		%						(drop)		(drop)	aci	d prod., mol H ⁺ /t	nne
34 – Point Cook:	0-50	cl-lmc		0-6, 1-2	n-v	u	7.4-8.2	6.3-7.7	0.5-1				no data	
Cheetam Wetlands	50-100	lmc	0.9	4, 1	s	u	7.8-7.9	6.5-8.5	+0.5 - 1.5			no	data	6
	100-110	shell	7.3	5, 2-3	v	u	7.8	8.3	0.5	8.5	0.5	0	2	40
	110-120	lmc	0.3		s	u	8.0	2.5	5.5	8.0	0.5	0	e7**	62
	120-135	mc	0.2			u	8.1	6.9	2	5.6	0	0	31**	32
35 – Point Wilson:	0-70	sl		2-6, 1-2	v	n-xx	8.7-9.1	6.4-6.6	2-2.5				no data	
wildlife reserve	70-90	ksl		6, 1-2	v	u	8.2	8.3	0				no data	
	90-180	ksl	7.1	6, 1-2	v	хх	9.0	6.8	2	7.5	2	0	**66	126
	180-190	lc	1.2			v	9.1	8.4	0.5	7.8	0.5	0	126**	126
	190-200	lc	0.9			v	9.2	8.7	0.5	7.4	1	0	417*	509
	200-260	lc-lmc	4.5-2.5		m-h	v	9.2-9.3	8.2-10.0	1-+1	8.6-8.8	0	0	28-51**	55-204
36 – Connewarre:	0-70	lmc-fscl											no data	
Lake Connewarre	70-340	lc-lmc	0.7-0.2			u						ou	data	0-7
37 – Swan Bay:	10-20	peat-scl	9.7	2,2	ш					8.1	0	0	562**	658
foreshore	20-35	ks	7.1	6, 2-3						6.8	0.5	0	11	22
	35-60	sl	1.4	6, 2-3						9.3	0.5	0	3	2
38 – Barwon Heads:	0-15	scl	2.8		n	хх	7.5	5.7	2			no	data	7
Salt Swamp	15-75	scl-lc	0.5-0.4		m	ХХ	8.3-8.4	6.1-6.6	2			no	data	0-1
	75-100	sc	4.7		h	v	8.8	10.1-10.3	+1.5	8.8	0	0	9	8
	100-150	sc	4.3		h	XXX	8.6-8.7	8.3-9.0	0	8.8	0	0	L	12
	150-230	S	5.9		v	х	8.3-8.4	6.3-6.7	1.5-2	0.6	0.5	0	20-21	40

Rampant P, Brown A & Croatto Acid sulfate soil hazard maps guidelines for coastal Victoria

Appendix 2. continued

Continued next page...

Site	Depth	Texture	TC	Shell & Lime	Soft CO ₃	Field Eff	Field pH H,O	Field pH H ₂ O,	Field pH change	Lab pH oxidised	Lab pH change	POCAS acid trail	POCAS S trail & PSN	TOS
	Cm	·	%					4	(drop)		(drop)	aci	d prod., mol H ⁺ /t	onne
39 – Breamlea:	0-15	lc-peat	5.6-9.6			х	5.9-6.5	3.4-3.6	2.5-3			ou	data	11
flora reserve	15-160	sc	0.3-0.6	1-3, 1-2	s-m	u	7.0-8.2	5.4-6.4	2-2.5	8.4-8.5	0.5	0	0-19	0
	160-170	sc	1.2	2, 2		v	7.8	3.0	5	6.1	1	0	388*	491
	170-200	sc	0.2		n-s	хх-х	7.9	5.8-6.0	2	6.3-7.0	0.5	0	1-17	12-33
40 – Peterborough:	0-25	ls	11.6		Λ	х	8.9	5.8	3			ou	data	13
Curdies Inlet	25-95	s	10.3-9.7		m-h	x-u	8.3-8.7	6.1-6.4	2			ou	data	0-3
	95-100	sc	8.8		m	x	8.2	6.3	2	8.3	0	0	36**	99
	100-150	ls	9.4	1, 1		хх	8.6	5.9	2.5	8.0	1	0	205**	282
	150+	ls	9.5	1, 1		v	8.5	5.6	3	8.0	1.5	0	131**	189
41 – Mepunga	0-30	cl	25.8	2, 1	h	хх	7.2	5.8	1.5			ou	data	178
West: Killeens Road	30-90	zcl-scl	13.4-10.4	2-3, 1	h-v	хх-х	7.3-7.4	6.3-6.4	1			ou	data	23-45
	90-140	sl	0.9-0.5		s-m	хх	7.1-7.3	4.9-5.5	1.5-2			ou	data	0-2
	140-150	lmc			S	хх	7.5	3.3	4				no data	
	150-220	lmc-mc	0.7-0.4		н	хх-х	7.5-7.7	5.3-7.3	0-1.5	7.1-8.1	0-1	0	31-74*	37-78
	220-270	mc	0.2		s	٨	7.9	6.2-7.7	1.0-1.5	7.4	0.5	0	2	10
	270-320	mc-mhc	0.2-0.3		s-m	хх-х	7.7-8.0	5.9-6.3	1.5	6.6-7.3	0-0.5	0	2	6-L
42 – Warrnambool:	0-5	s	15.2		٨	х	7.2	5.9	1			ou	data	40
Kelly Swamp	5-100	ls-scl	10.5-10.4	0-2, 1	n-v	x-u	7.4-8.2	6.1-6.5	1.5-2	8.4	1	0	16	3-34
	100-210	kscl	10.1-10.6	1-2, 1	h	xxf	7.4-7.8	6.0-6.8	0.5-2	8.2-8.3	1	0	86-155**	129-209
	210-220	kscl	1.5	1, 1		٧	7.8	2.9	5	7.3	0.5	0	636**	558
43 – Yambuk:	0-15	peaty lc	6.5-5.1			хх	7.1-7.5	4.8-5.9	2			ou	data	34-43
Eumeralla River	15-80	lc	2.2-1.0	3, 2		хх	7.6-8.1	5.6-6.4	1.5-2	6.9-7.1	0	0	6-10	10-22
	80-185	lc	1.0-0.4	1-2, 2		х-х	7.5-8.0	5.9-8.0	+0.5-2	7.2-7.6	0.5	0	1-6	11-17

DPI - Centre for Land Protection Research - March 2003

ſ

Т Τ

Appendix 2. continued

28

Continued next page...

Victoria
r coastal
\mathbf{fo}
guidelines
maps
hazard
soil
d sulfate a
Aci
Croatto
&
A
Brown
L.
Rampant

Appendix 2. continued

TOS	onne	115	31	11-20	14
POCAS S trail & PSN	d prod., mol H ⁺ /t	data	data	4-16	data
POCAS acid trail	aci	ou	ou	0	ou
Lab pH change	(drop)			0	
Lab pH oxidised				7.7-7.9	
Field pH change	(drop)	3	2.5	0.5-1.5	1.5
Field pH H ₂ O ₂		4.6	5.7	6.6-7.6	6.4
Field pH H ₂ O		7.3	8.2	8.1-8.2	8.1
Field Eff		хх	хх	v	хх
Soft CO ₃			S	s-m	ш
Shell & Lime					2, 1
TC	%	16.6	4.2	2.4-1.4	4.6
Texture		lc	lc	lmc	sc
Depth	Ст	0-30	30-45	45-80	80-110
Site		44 – Princetown:	Gellibrand River		

Texture, Shell & Limestone (aggregates: size and abundance) and Soft CO₃ (segregations): codes as per handbook; Field Eff: N = nil, X = slight, XX = moderate, XXX = vigorous, V = volcanic, f = froth; TC, %: Total Carbon (largely organic matter where shell and carbonates are undetected but will include the latter where present); Drop in pH has been rounded off to the nearest 0.5 of a pH unit;

Potential Self Neutralising (PSN) [based on POCAS Sulphidic S minus Ca and Mg analyses where such are assumed to be in carbonate form]: * partial neutralisation = at least 30% decrease in acid production (othen from one PASS category to another), ** complete neutralisation = complete or near complete negation of acid production (note. data less than 20 mol H⁺/tonne have not been assigned a PSN rating).

Appendix 3. Sample of field data collection sheet

Jate25101JuryeyorPaumpantSite TypeALSite TypeALHologyALWap Unit(m)Locality DetailsSoli Water RegimeMGS ZoneSSEasting42.2 SS \mathbb{P}_2 NorthingS.7.0 S.7.8 GMap NumberCouracy (m)Accuracy MethodC.K.Accuracy MethodC.K.Accuracy MethodC.K.Accuracy MethodC.K.Accuracy MethodC.K.Accuracy MethodC.K.AppentPL <a< td="">MorphologyCPatternPL<a< td="">Slope (%)SSlope (%)SSlope AspectSizeState DetailsSizeDeservation TypeC.ADisturbanceSDeservation TypeC.ADisturbanceSDespith (m)SDespith (m)SDespith (m)SDisturbanceCDanificneCAlterationCoverState DetailsStratumDisterbanceCDistrationCoverCoverCCoverCoverCoverCoverCoverCoverSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpeciesSpecies<</a<></a<>	1 Study Details		7 Aggradation	
Surveyor Rainform Sile Type AL Sile Type AL Bit Details Condition Bit Details Component Disturbance Sope Component Desprint Component Proportions Component Proportions Comononet Dep	Date	25/7/01	State	(÷
Basility Decomposition Add unit Construction Add public Construction Add public Construction Add public Construction MG Zone Substruction Easting 442.75545 MG Zone Substruction Easting 442.75545 Map Number Substruction Map Number Condition Map Number Condition Accuracy Method Clfs. Accuracy Method Clfs. Condition Substruction Blandform Details Substruction Stope (%) Substruction State Subope (%) State Subope (%) State Subope (%) State Subope (%) State Degreation Type Component State Degreation State Substrate Details Disturbance Substrate Details Stratum Component Proportions Species Species Species <	Surveyor	Pauro	Type	
And FyneAndAnd FyneAndAdap UnitCyneLocality DetailsSolutionMG ZoneSyneEasting42.85%Adap NumberSolutionAdap NumberSolutionAccuracy (m)CollingAccuracy (m)Colling <td>Site Type</td> <td>Fample ;</td> <td>Depth (mm)</td> <td>73.</td>	Site Type	Fample ;	Depth (mm)	73.
Autority of the second seco	Lithology	Δι	8 Landform Genesis	1.0~
Indep UnitInputIndep UnitInputMGS Zone $\leq \int_{a}$ Sasting $42 \otimes \leq a \leq a$ MGS Zone $\leq \int_{a}$ Sasting $42 \otimes \leq a \leq a$ MGN Mumber $\leq f \leq a \leq a \leq a$ Map Number $42 \otimes \leq a \leq a$ Map Number $a \in a \leq a \leq a \leq a$ Map Number $a \in a \leq a \leq a \leq a \leq a$ Map Number $a \in a \in a \leq a \leq a \leq a \leq a$ Map Number $a \in a \in a \leq a \leq a \leq a \leq a < a <$	Man Unit			
ProductANG Zone $S S$ Easting 42.85 § §Northing $S 7 0.8786$ Northing $S 7 0.8786$ App NumberIAccuracy (m)IAccuracy (m)IAccuracy (m)IAccuracy (m)IAccuracy (m)IAccuracy (m)IAccuracy MethodIAccuracy MethodIAccuracy MethodIAccuracy (m)IBenentPLAPatternAup (Important)AppendentPLAPatternAup (Important)Stope AspectImportantStope AspectImportantStateImportantDestroanceImportantStateImportantDestroanceImportantStateImportantDestroanceImportantStateImportantDestroanceImportantStateImportantStateImportantStateImportant <td>Nap Onit</td> <td>(vp</td> <td>Agent</td> <td>EL 4 CH</td>	Nap Onit	(vp	Agent	EL 4 CH
AMO 2016 S Sasting 42.85.92 Northing S7.08.78.6 Wap Number Runoff Map Name I Accuracy Method C.R. Condition S Standform Details Yawa K.s. Yama Kunoditon Standform Details Yawa K.s. Yama Kunoditon Standform Details Yawa K.s. Yama Kunoditon Standform Details Yawa K.s. Yama Yama K.s. Yama Yama K.s. Standform Details Yawa K.s. Yama Yama K.s. Standform Details Yawa K.s. Stage K.s. Yama K.s. Disturbance Yama K.s. Yama K.s. Yama K.s. Depth (m)			A Soil Water Begime	
Lasting $4 \ge 2 \le 4$ Aasting $4 \ge 2 \le 4$ Map Number $1 \ge 7_0 \le 3 \ge 6$ Map Number $1 \ge 7_0 \le 3 \ge 6$ Map Number $1 \ge 7_0 \le 3 \ge 6$ Map Number $1 \ge 7_0 \le 3 \ge 6$ Accuracy (m) $2 \ge 1 \le 3 \ge 2 \le 3 \ge 2$ Accuracy (m) $2 \ge 1 \le 2 \le 3 \ge 2$ Accuracy (mhod $C \le 5 \le $	AMG Zone	55	9 Soll Water Regime	
Variation S (0 8 8 8 6) Accuracy (m) Size Accuracy Method C (0 5 6 6 5) Dealing Yawe Kile - (0 5 6 6 5) Bandform Details Yawe Kile - (0 5 6 6 5) Bandform Details Yawe Kile - (0 5 6 6 5) Bandform Details Yawe Kile - (0 5 6 6 5) Bandform Details Size Slope (%) Shape Lithology Size Slope Aspect Shape Lithology Size Slope Aspect Size Aspect Method Size Elevation (m) (5 m < 5)	Easting	428595	Runom	
Map Number Uranage Map Name Accuracy Method Accuracy Method Lifes B Landform Details Yene Kice - (oster Pattern Aul? Element P LA Morphology E Element P LA Morphology E Slope Method Curinod <	Northing	2(08886	Permeability	· · ·
Map Name 10 Soil Surface Accuracy (m) Condition Accuracy Method CP_{-} Accuracy Method CP_{-} Accuracy Method CP_{-} Standform Details Yawe Kie - (oster B Landform Details Yawe Kie - (oster Battern Au P Bilement $P_{-}CP_{-}$ Worphology E Slope (%) Silope (%) Slope Method Curve Slope Method Curve Slope Aspect Silope Sect Lithology E Slope Method Curve Slope Aspect Silope Sect and Use Cuffle Curve Silope Method Check Disturbance Susceptibility Silope Method Curve Disturbance Susceptibility State Disturbance Disturbance Susceptibility Stratum <t< td=""><td>Map Number</td><td></td><td>Drainage</td><td></td></t<>	Map Number		Drainage	
Accuracy (m) Image: Condition S Accuracy Method Life: (h,b) Abundance D Dataform Details Yawa (h,c) Condition D Pattern ALP Cool Lifthology Element PLA Abundance D Stope (%) S State Stape Stope (%) State Degree State Elevation Method CAPS State Degree and Use Coefficient Type Component Cowest Depth (m) S Degree Susceptibility 4 Site Details 14 Microrelief Type Component Disturbance S Component Component Component Component (m) O Core Component Stratum Cover Cover Stratum Cover Cover Species Species </td <td>Map Name</td> <td></td> <td>10 Soil Surface</td> <td></td>	Map Name		10 Soil Surface	
Accuracy Method C/KS (D) Jundform Details Yawo K.E.E. (oSter BLandform Details Yawo K.E.E. (oSter Pattern ALP (dots) Element PLA Abundance Morphology E State Slope Method CLINO State Slope Method CLINO State Slope Method CLINO State Elevation (m) IS may be compared to the compare	Accuracy (m)		Condition	5
Locality Name Black Sump B Landform Details Yana Kill - Koster Balandform Details Yana Kill - Koster Dattern ALP (Ero) Element PLA Worphology E Slope (%) Size Slope (%) Size Slope Aspect Size Slope Aspect Size Elevation (m) ISmark - Koster Black Curve Size Slope Aspect Size Slope Aspect Size Lithology Size Slope Aspect Size Slope Aspect Size Lithology Size Slope Aspect Size Land Use Coff (Crocal Color Aspect Infinite Siturbance Substrate Details Disturbance Sitate Disturbance Stratum Component Proportions Vertical Interval (m) Stratum Cover Growth Form Beptin (m) Species Stratum Species Species Species	Accuracy Method	ats (ND.	11 Rock Outcrop	
B Landform Details Yewe Kille - Koster Pattern ALP Pattern ALP Pattern ALP Blement PLA Worphology E Slope (%) State Slope Aspect Ithology Aspect Method Ithology Elevation (m) 15 m 4 5 Elevation (m) 15 m 4 5 Elevation Method CPS State Degree Diservation Type Caraco Diservation Type Caraco Disturbance S Substrate Details Type Distarbance Component Proportions Vertical Interval (m) Solbepth (m) O Strate Details Stratum Cover O Distance (m) Stratum Confidence A Depth (m) Stratum Cover Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Duration Z	Locality Name	Black Swamp	Abundance	0
Pattern ALP Face Element PLA Abundance Size Morphology E Size Size Slope (%) Size Shape Size Slope Method Curron Size Size Slope Aspect Size Shape Size Aspect Method Size Shape Size Elevation (m) IS made Size Size Elevation Method CPS EST Size and Use Ceffle Curron Size Degree Size Susceptibility If Microrelief Disturbance Solot Depth (m) Solot Depth (m) Omorent State Disturbance Solot Depth (m) Solot Depth (m) Solot Depth (m) Omorent Solot Depth (m) Solot Depth (m) Strength E Species Species Strength E Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species <td>3 Landform Details</td> <td>Yanakie - foster</td> <td>Lithology</td> <td></td>	3 Landform Details	Yanakie - foster	Lithology	
Element $P \perp A$ Morphology E Slope (%) \bigcirc Slope Method \bigcirc Slope Aspect \bigcirc Slope Aspect \bigcirc Slope Aspect \bigcirc Slope Aspect \bigcirc Elevation (m) $i \le m - \le 5$ Elevation Method $C P \le E \le T$ Lithology \square State \square Deservation Type $Cemaco$ Observation Type $Cemaco$ Outration Ce \square Observation Type \square Observation Type \square Disturbance \square Observation Type \square Disturbance \square Observation Type \square Confidence \square Distance (m) \bigcirc Strength \in Ithology \land Species \bigcirc	Pattern	ALP (FLO)	12 Surface Fragmen	ts
Morphology E Slope (%) Size Slope (%) Size Slope (%) Size Slope (%) Size Slope Aspect Size Aspect Method Size Slope Aspect Type Slope Aspect Type Slope Aspect State Elevation (m) (S m < 5)	Element	PLA	Abundance	0
Slope (%) Shape Slope Method Shape Slope Aspect Shape Aspect Method State Elevation (m) 15 m < 5	Morphology	E	Size	
Slope Method Lithology Slope Aspect	Slope (%)	6	Shape	
Slope Aspect	Slope Method	TAINO	Lithology	5
Aspect MethodImage: TypeElevation (m) r_5 Elevation Method G_{PS} Elevation Type $CenacloAsite Details14 MicroreliefDisturbance5cowest Depth (m)3 \cdot 0Depth LimiterProportionsRoot Depth (m)0Distance (m)0ConfidenceADepth (m)0StrengthEAlterationGrowth FormIthologyA_LAnterationGrowth FormErequencyADurationZDepth (mm)1NotesGrowth FormGriff = Black Scomp et Closeles Hall radsGriff = Black Scomp et Closeles Hall radsGriff = K + 1880$	Slope Aspect		13 Site Degradation	
Species Substrate Details Distance (m) Observation Type Calle Calle Calle Calle Calle Calle Calle Calle State Degree Susceptibility 4 Site Details Disturbance Soutestrate Details Distarce (m) Confidence Depth (m) Strength Alteration Ithology And details Distance Strength Confidence Alteration Ithology And details Duration Zerk (g (a (20,21) Shuck State Details Distance Alteration Ithology And details Species	Aspect Method		Type	
Lincols (m)ConstructionElevation Method G_PS E_ST Land Use $Cattle CargingDegreeA Site Details14 MicroreliefObservation TypeCreacoADisturbance5ComponentLowest Depth (m)3 \cdot 0ProportionsDepth LimiterAVertical Interval (m)Root Depth (m)0StatumSubstrate Details15 VegetationDistance (m)0ConfidenceADepth (m)0StratumCoverConfidenceADepth (m)0StrationStratumCoverGrowth FormHeightSpeciesSoil ClassificationNotes(20, 21 StructSc (87.8 + 1880$	Elevation (m)	15m 25.	State	
and Use Calle Carrier and Use Calle Carrier a Site Details Susceptibility Disturbance 5 Disturbance 5 Lowest Depth (m) 3.0 Depth Limiter Proportions Root Depth (m) 0 Substrate Details Vertical Interval (m) Distance (m) 0 Confidence A Depth (m) 0 Stratum Cover Cover Cover Growth Form Cover Growth Form Cover Growth Form Cover Species Species Soil Classification	Elevation Method	GPS EST	Degree	
4 Site Details 2 A Site Details 2 Disturbance 1 Microrelief Proportions Vertical Interval (m) Horiz. Interval (m) 1 Vegetation 1 Microrelief Distance (m) 1 Morrelief Distance (m) 1 Morrelief Distance (m) 1 Morrelief Distance (m) 1 Morrelief Distance (m) 1 Microrelief Distance (m) 1 Morrelief 1 Microrelief 1 Morrelief 1 Morrelie	and Use	Cattle Ciazin	Susceptibility	
Note Details Disturbance Disturbance Disturbance Dowest Depth (m) Depth Limiter Root Depth (m) Substrate Details Distance (m) Confidence Depth (m) Distance (m) Confidence Depth (m) Depth (m) Strength Alteration Lithology AL d Senetic Type Alteration Enundation Frequency A Depth (mm) Notes CML Black Science Hall rats	4 Site Details		14 Microrelief	
Disturbance 5 Disturbance 5 Lowest Depth (m) 3 · D Depth Limiter Proportions Root Depth (m) 15 Substrate Details 15 Distance (m) 0 Confidence A Depth (m) 0 Strength € Alteration	Observation Type	CLANDIA A	Type	La.
Lowest Depth (m) 3 0 Depth Limiter Proportions Root Depth (m) 0 5 Substrate Details 15 Vegetation Distance (m) 0 Confidence A Depth (m) 0 Strength E Alteration	Disturbance	5	Component	
Depth Limiter Depth Limiter Root Depth (m) Substrate Details Distance (m) Confidence Alteration Depth (m) Strength E Alteration Lithology AL d Depth (mm) Species	Lowest Depth (m)	30	Proportions	-
Bob Strate Details 15 Vegetation Distance (m) 0 Confidence A Depth (m) 0 Confidence A Depth (m) 0 Stratum Cover Cover Growth Form Stratum Cover Growth Form Growth Height Species Species Species <t< td=""><td>Denth Limiter</td><td></td><td>Vertical Interval (m)</td><td></td></t<>	Denth Limiter		Vertical Interval (m)	
Substrate Details Distance (m) Confidence Alteration Strength Alteration Lithology AL 4 Species	Boot Depth (m)		Horiz Interval (m)	
Distance (m) O Confidence A Depth (m) O Strength E Alteration Growth Form Lithology AL Senetic Type A Sinundation Species Frequency 4 Depth (mm) Image: Species Shundation Species Frequency 4 Depth (mm) Image: Species Species Species	5 Substrate Details		15 Vegetation	
Distance (III) Confidence A Confidence A Depth (m) O Strength E Alteration Bill Lithology AL Senetic Type A Binundation Species Frequency 4 Duration Z Depth (mm) I Notes Slack Swamp - 4 Chestles, Hall rats Culk 18 - 18 - 18 - 50			Stratum	
Control Depth (m) Strength Alteration Lithology AL 4 Secies Secies Species Soil Classification			Cover	ή
Deptin (m) C Strength Strength E Alteration Species Lithology AL Senetic Type AL Senetic Type AL Species Species Species Soil Classification Notes Soil Classification Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Species Spec		<u>A</u>	Growth Form	1 Control
Strength E Alteration	Depth (m)		Hoight	1 en en als
Alteration Lithology ALteration Species Genetic Type ALteration Species Spe	Strength	<u> </u>	Species	- Jaco
Control			Species	
Serieur Type All and the form 6 Inundation Species Frequency 4 Duration 2 Depth (mm) 1 Notes Soil Classification CNR Black Swamp - 4 Charles, Hall rids Sur 18, 19 (20,21) Struct Sci 1878 + 1880		AL TO MA	Species	Port, red
binundation Species Frequency 4 Duration 2 Depth (mm) 1 Notes Soil Classification CNR Black Swamp - 4 Charles Hall ras Gulk 18 18 18		the arma	Species	peru
Frequency 4 Species Duration 2 Species Depth (mm) 1 Soil Classification Notes Soil Classification CNR Black Swamp + 4 chartes Hall ras GUR 18, 19 (20,21 Struct Sc 1878 + 1880	b inundation		Species	
Duration 2 Depth (mm) 1 Notes CNR Black Swamp - 4 Charles Hall ras CNR 18, 19 (20,21 Struct Sc 1878 + 1880	Frequency		Species	
Depth (mm) 1 Notes CNR Black Swamp - 4 Charles Hall rds CLR 18, 19 (20,21 Struct Sc 1878 + 1880	Duration	6	Species	
Notes CNR Black Swamp & Charles Hall rds CLR 18,19 (20,21 Struct DC 1878 + 1880	Depth (mm)	<u> </u>	Soil Classification	
CNR Black Swamp- Helhorles Hall rds GLR 18,19 (20,21) Struct SC 1878 + 1880	Notes			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SUR 18, 19 (20,21 struct SC 1878 + 1880	CNR Black Su	some p- A charles Hall ras		
SC 1878 + 1880	GUR 18.19	(20,21 Struct		
	BC 1878 4	1880		