

| QUESTION | COMMENTS | REFERENCE | RANKING |
|---|--|--|-----------|
| Social | | | |
| 1. Restrict human access? | <i>S. linnaeanum</i> grows well in sandy coastal areas where it strongly competes with native species. If left to develop it can, "...result in dense patches which crowd out other vegetation [and] restrict stock movement." Because of its prickly nature it may potentially restrict human access. | P & C (2001) | ML |
| 2. Reduce tourism? | In Victoria, its distribution is limited, but it occurs in medium to large populations. Because of its prickly nature, its presence may affect some recreational activities, particularly bushwalking or hiking. | Carr <i>et al</i> (1992) P & C (2001) | MH |
| 3. Injurious to people? | An erect perennial shrub, the plant has numerous prickles on the stem, leaves and fruit. The fruit is poisonous. | P & C (2001) | H |
| 4. Damage to cultural sites? | Dense patches may create a negative visual effect. | | ML |
| Abiotic | | | |
| 5. Impact flow? | Terrestrial sp. | P & C (2001) | L |
| 6. Impact water quality? | Terrestrial sp. | P & C (2001) | L |
| 7. Increase soil erosion? | A perennial, spreading shrub with a stout taproot. Plants are partially deciduous in winter. Not likely to increase soil erosion. | P & C (2001) | L |
| 8. Reduce biomass? | It competes strongly with native plants and crowds out other vegetation. Direct replacement of biomass. | P & C (2001) | ML |
| 9. Change fire regime? | Predominantly evergreen (plants are partially deciduous), it does not significantly increase fuel load. It therefore presents little change to the fire regime. | P & C (2001) | L |
| Community Habitat | | | |
| 10. Impact on composition (a) high value EVC | EVC=Coastal dune scrub (E); CMA=Glenelg Hopkins; Bioreg=Warnambool Plain; VH CLIMATE potential Occurs in medium to large populations and, "...competes strongly with native species." Major displacement of grasses/forbs. | Carr <i>et al</i> (1992) P & C (2001) | MH |
| (b) medium value EVC | EVC=Coastal dune scrub (D); CMA=Glenelg Hopkins; Bioreg=Victorian Volcanic Plain; VH CLIMATE potential. Occurs in medium to large populations and, "...competes strongly with native species." Impact as above.. | Carr <i>et al</i> (1992) P & C (2001) | MH |
| (c) low value EVC | EVC=Heathy woodland (E); CMA=Corangamite; Bioreg=Otway Plains; VH CLIMATE potential "Grows well...[in]...coastal areas." Prefers open areas. Possible minor impact in woodland areas. | P & C (2001) | ML |
| 11. Impact on structure? | "Dense patches can crowd out other vegetation." It also, "...competes strongly with native species." It would have a major impact on the floral strata in coastal vegetation and grasslands. | P & C (2001) Carr <i>et al</i> (1992) | ML |
| 12. Effect on threatened flora? | | | |

| QUESTION | COMMENTS | REFERENCE | RANKING |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----------|
| Fauna | | | |
| 13. Effect on threatened fauna? | | | |
| 14. Effect on non-threatened fauna? | Medium to large populations, though limited in distribution. Competes with beneficial plants so is likely to reduce food source for non-threatened fauna. Spiny nature of plant discourages grazing. | Carr <i>et al</i> (1992) P & C (2001) | ML |
| 15. Benefits fauna? | No benefits for fauna. "Neither the fruit nor seeds are eaten by birds or animals." | P & C (2001) | H |
| 16. Injurious to fauna? | Prickles present throughout the year. Fruit is poisonous. | P & C (2001) | H |
| Pest Animal | | | |
| 17. Food source to pests? | "Neither the fruit nor seeds are eaten by birds or animals." | P & C (2001) | L |
| 18. Provides harbor? | The plant does provide harbor for rabbits. As a perennial, it possibly provides for permanent harbor. | P & C (2001) | H |
| Agriculture | | | |
| 19. Impact yield? | Although the fruit is poisonous, animals avoid grazing the plant because of its prickly nature. Infestations crowd out other vegetation and restricts stock movement; reduces carrying capacity. | P & C (2001) | ML |
| 20. Impact quality? | Seeds do not present as impurities in seed or cereal crops. No burrs to affect wool. | P & C (2001) | L |
| 21. Affect land value? | It is more a problem in unimproved pastures. Would have minimal impact on land value. | P & C (2001) | L |
| 22. Change land use? | It is more a problem in unimproved pastures. Seedlings are easily killed by frequent cultivation as part of crop sowing or pasture improvement. | P & C (2001) | L |
| 23. Increase harvest costs? | No evidence of increased harvest costs. | | L |
| 24. Disease host/vector? | None evident. | | L |