




The thinking behind
our everyday essentials

The Land Use Impact Model (LUIM)



Published by:

Department of Primary Industries,
Primary Industries Research Victoria
Bendigo

February 2007

Also published on <http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au>

© The State of Victoria, 2007

This publication is copyright. No part may be reproduced by any process except in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Authorised by the Victorian Government, Midland Highway, Epsom, Victoria.

ISBN 978-1-74199-070-6

The National Library of Australia Cataloguing-in-Publication entry:

McNeill, Joanne (Joanne Margaret), 1972- .

The Land Use Impact Model (LUIM).

Bibliography.

ISBN 9781741990706 (web).

1. Land use, Rural - Victoria - Management. 2.

Agricultural industries - Risk management - Victoria. I.

MacEwan, Richard John, 1949- . II. Victoria. Dept. of

Primary Industries. III. Title.

333.953309945

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

Contents

Acknowledgments.....	iv
Abbreviations	iv
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Context.....	1
1.2 Landscape design and decision making	1
1.3 LUIM applications.....	2
1.4 Report structure	2
2 The LUIM framework	3
2.1 The Risk Assessment Framework	3
2.2 Data Requirements	4
2.3 Likelihood, Consequence and Risk	6
2.4 Bayesian belief networks are used to deal with data uncertainty	7
3 The LUIM Tool	10
4 Case Studies.....	11
Victorian Catchment Indicators Project.....	12
The Wetland Tool	19
Biodiversity Impacts of Land Use Policy	24
Corangamite Soil Health Strategy	28
Lower Murray Landscape Futures: Land Use and Risks to Native Vegetation	32
West Gippsland Region Soil Erosion Management Plan	37
Wind Erosion Risk in the Mallee Region.....	44
5 Discussion.....	47
5.1 LUIM consortium	47
5.2 The future for LUIM.....	47
References	49

Figures

Figure 1 Schematic representation of the LUIM components in a risk assessment framework.	3
Figure 2 An example of a remnant patch of vegetation defined as an asset	5
Figure 3 Chart showing the generic LUIM components, data inputs and model outputs.....	6
Figure 4 The basic structure of the Bayesian belief network within the LUIM.....	7
Figure 5 LUIM Bayesian Belief Network for sheet and rill erosion risk under a cropping land management regime, showing an example of results for a single map unit.....	9
Figure 6 Example of user interface window for the LUIM within ArcGIS 9.1.....	10
Figure 7 Example of the original version of the LUIM user interface, showing relationships between land management practices and susceptibilities defined through consultation with experts.....	14

Figure 8 Example of the original version of the LUIM risk results for individual land units.	14
Figure 9 The ABS Extension risk matrix screen.....	17
Figure 10 Risk of accelerated soil structure decline due to current management practices on agricultural land in Victoria.....	17
Figure 11 Percentage of agricultural land at risk of accelerated soil structure.	18
Figure 12 The change in the percentage of area at risk from accelerated soil structure decline in Victoria between 1995 and 1997.....	18
Figure 13 The LUIM Wetland Tool User Interface.....	19
Figure 14 Process for assessing risk to wetlands from irrigation proposals using the LUIM and the Wetland Tool.	20
Figure 15 Example of the Wetland Tool User interface and wetland information for the Wandella Forest wetland.....	21
Figure 16 Example output page from the Wetland Tool after selection of an irrigation management scenario.	22
Figure 17 An example output from the LUIM Wetland Tool for a single wetland – land management scenario.	23
Figure 18 Project area location.....	24
Figure 19 LUIM Bayesian belief network for loss of regeneration capacity showing an example of results for a single remnant EVC patch (MacEwan <i>et al.</i> 2004).....	26
Figure 20 BBN risk probability distributions for two remnant patches falling into the high risk map class (MacEwan <i>et al.</i> 2004).....	26
Figure 21 Risk of loss of regeneration capacity of EVCs posed by cropping, current (left) and future scenario (right) (MacEwan <i>et al.</i> 2004).....	27
Figure 22 Risk of loss of regeneration capacity of EVCs posed by grazing, current (left) and future scenario (right) (MacEwan <i>et al.</i> 2004).....	27
Figure 23 Corangamite region project area.....	28
Figure 24 Example of the CCMA Soil Health Strategy likelihood output for soil structure decline (McNeill and MacEwan 2004).....	30
Figure 25 Example of the CCMA Soil Health Strategy risk output for soil structure decline (McNeill and MacEwan 2004).....	31
Figure 26 Example of LMLF project risk results produced for the threatening process Weed Invasion.	36
Figure 27 (a) Susceptibility of MUs to sheet or rill erosion, and (b) susceptibility to gully or tunnel erosion for the WGCMA soil erosion management plan.....	38
Figure 28 Example output: (a) Likelihood of occurrence of sheet or rill erosion, (b) risk of sheet or rill erosion for the WGCMA soil erosion management plan.....	42
Figure 29 Area within each likelihood category for sheet and rill erosion for 2006 for the WGCMA soil erosion management plan.....	43

Tables

Table 1 Example likelihood matrix	7
Table 2 Land management practices assessed for each threatening process.	16
Table 3 Percentage adoption of land use practices, used in the LUIM for the Drivers of Land Use Change project.	25
Table 4 Sensitivity criteria to water logging for soils in the CCMA Soil health Strategy.	29
Table 5 Asset value assessment criteria sourced from Heislars and Clifton (2004).....	29
Table 6 Inventory and distribution of grazing practices relevant to soil structure decline (McNeill and MacEwan 2004).....	30
Table 7 Vegetation groups classified for susceptibility to threatening processes in the Lower Murray region.	33
Table 8 Susceptibility criteria for remnant patches in the Lower Murray region.....	33
Table 9 Conservation status criteria (from NRE 2002) used to classify asset value.	34
Table 10 Management practices assessed for each threatening process for the Lower Murray region.....	34
Table 11 Topsoil depth classes and sensitivity ratings used in the WGCMA soil erosion management plan. ..	38
Table 12 Land uses and their assigned value as assets (according to economic, environmental and social criteria adapted from Heislars and Clifton 2004) for the WGCMA soil erosion management plan.	39
Table 13 Land use categories assessed for risk and the original land use categories for the WGCMA soil erosion management plan.....	41
Table 14 High rainfall dairy management practices assessed for sheet and rill erosion and estimated distribution for the WGCMA soil erosion management plan.....	41
Table 15 High rainfall dairy management practices classified for influence on occurrence of sheet and rill erosion for the WGCMA soil erosion management plan.....	42
Table 16 An example of the summary of erosion risk for sub catchments in the WGCMA region.	43
Table 17 Dryland agricultural land use categories for the Mallee CMA region.	45
Table 18 Phase cropping management practices that may influence the occurrence of wind erosion.....	46